Bangladesh Water Development Board (BWDB)

Kingdom of the Netherlands

Embassy of the Kingdom of the Netherlands(EKN) Dhaka, Bangladesh

Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE)

Working Paper 8E
Participatory Monitoring
October 2018

Blue Gold

BETS LTD

Socioconsult Ltd. for sustainable development

FEMCONSULT

MOTT MACDONALD

Working Paper 8E
Participatory Monitoring

October 2018

Blue Gold Program

Green corner – Save a tree today!

Mott MacDonald is committed to integrating sustainability into our operational practices and culture. As a world leading consultancy business we are always seeking to improve our own performance and reduce the environmental impact of our business. Meanwhile, many of our staff are committed to living sustainably in their personal lives – as an employee-owned company Mott MacDonald shares their concerns. We feel an ethical obligation to reduce our emissions and resource use and have committed to reducing our per capita carbon footprint by a minimum of 5% year on year.

We print our reports and client submissions using recycled, double-sided paper. Compared to printing single sided on A4 virgin paper, double sided printing on recycled paper saves the equivalent of two trees, over a ton of CO_2 and a cubic metre of landfill space for every 100 reams. By choosing the greener path we have been able to achieve efficiencies benefiting both Mott MacDonald and our customers.

We would like to share some of the principles of our own 'Going Green' initiative:
- When possible we scan rather than print and consider what really needs to be on paper
- We use electronic faxing when practicable
- We work on e-forms
- We use recycled paper when possible
- Reducing paper in the office creates a better working environment for our staff and our clients

We believe that you, as one of our esteemed clients, will share our concern to conserve precious resources for the benefit of our planet and its inhabitants.

MOTT
MACDONALD

Issue and revision record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
V1	21 Jan 2019	MRL/Zonal Teams		Guy Jones	WP8E – First Issue

Content

List of Abbreviations

BGP	Blue Gold Program
BWDB	Bangladesh Water Development Board
EC	Executive Committee
FFS	Farmer Field School
MFS	Market Oriented Farmer Field School
MRL	Monitoring, Reflection and Learning
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
PM	Participatory Monitoring
ToT	Training of Trainers
WM	Water Management
WMA	Water Management Association
WMG	Water Management Group
WMO	Water Management Organization

Executive Summary

1. This report covers the fifth round of participatory monitoring, conducted by WMGs in October 2018.Through this exercise, the WMGs assessed their own performance against potential targets at outcome level, so also called outcome challenges. In essence, participatory monitoring encourages WMGs to be aware of potential targets and to evaluate their performance (progress or shortcomings) towards a full achievement of those targets.

2. The WMGs assessed their progress against 17 outcome challenges under 3 themes and indicated the progress they achieved vis-à-vis the outcome challenges by using scores.

3. The outcome challenges that are being used in PM underscore the functionality indicators used across the Blue Gold Program. Besides, as the outcome challenges have their roots in activities of everyday life, the WMG members can easily relate to them.

4. A total of 506 WMGs of 22 polders participated in the PM exercise. Based on the progress they reported, WMGs have been ranked in 5 performance grades – 'A' if the overall achievement is => 80%; 'B' if the overall achievement is between 70 and 79%; 'C' if the overall achievement is between 60 and 69%; 'D' if the overall achievement is between 50 and 59%; and 'E' if the overall achievement is < 50%.

5. The results of PM show that performance grade of about 40% of the total number of WMGs is 'A', overall achievement being 80% or more, while 19% WMGs belong to 'E' grade with overall achievement of 50% or less. It is noteworthy that performance levels of about 65% of the total number of WMGs are of 2 top grades ('A' &'B') as per WMGs' self-assessment as well as in the assessment of polder teams.

6. As per their self-assessment, the progresses of WMGs have been quite remarkable in 12 polders – polders 22, 30, 43/2D, 43/2F, 29, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E, 26, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A and 55/2C-- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups:
    - all 12 WMGs of Polder 22 belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 8 WMGs in grade 'A';
    - 33 out of 40 WMGs of Polder 30 belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 26 of them in grade 'A';
    - All 28 WMGs of Polder 43/2D belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 22 of them in grade 'A';
    - 26 out of 27 WMGs of Polder 43/2F belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 18 of them in grade 'A';
    - 53 out of 56 WMGs of Polder 29 belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 35 of them in grade 'A';
    - All 14 WMGs of Polder 43/1A belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 8 of them in grade 'A';
    - 27 out of 28 WMGs of Polder 43/2B belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 19 of them in grade 'A';
    - all 12 WMGs of Polder 43/2E belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 9 of them in grade 'A';
    - 13 out of 15 WMGs of Polder 26 belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 5 of them in grade 'A';
    - 51 out of 63 WMGs of Polder 2 & 2 Ext. belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 21 of them in grade 'A';

- 13 out of 14 WMGs of Polder 55/2A belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 12 of them in grade 'A'. and
- all 16 WMGs of Polder 55/2C belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 12 of them in grade 'A'.

7. A positive trend can be observed in the progress of WMGs of most polders if the PM results of October 2018 are compared with those of previous rounds of PM. Good progress/performance of WMGs has been reported in the polders (and in WMG areas) where water management infrastructures have been rehabilitated. Moreover, where there is good leadership in the WMG – meaning that especially the Chairperson and Secretary are active and are able to give time for WMG activities– good performance of WMG seems to be a natural consequence. On the contrary, progress of a WMG can be hampered by factors like conflicts within leadership, interference in WMG activities by political personnel/influential people and financial mismanagement like irregularities in loan disbursement or non-repayment of loan.

M M
MOTT MACDONALD

1. Introduction

1.1 Background to Blue Gold Program

Blue Gold Program (BGP) contributes to 'reducing poverty in coastal polders by creating a healthy living environment and a sustainable socio-economic development'[1]. To this end the program sets up two types of activities: water management support activities and agriculture/fisheries production and market development support activities. Water management support activities include development and repair of water management infrastructures of selected polders and strengthening of water management partnerships, while agriculture/fisheries and market development support activities include technology transfer in agriculture/fisheries and strengthening of market linkages.

The project activities are expected to bring systemic changes in the existing situation. Water management support activities will stimulate equitable water management, where water management partnerships will be active so that water resources are managed effectively. Complementary to this, the agriculture/fisheries and market development support activities will generate strengthened value chains, where farmers will harvest more productive, profitable and diversified productions by adopting new technologies and practices with support from technology transfer services and commensurate market linkage developments. This is the outcome level in the results chain leading towards the ultimate target of Blue Gold Program.

Because changes at impact level and eventually at goal level will only occur if they are sustained at outcome level, monitoring is carried out at outcome level. Outcome mapping is necessary to see whether the expected changes are taking place, and whether they are developing into sustainable changes.

1.2 Purpose of Participatory Monitoring

Within Blue Gold, participatory monitoring (PM) encourages water management groups (WMGs) to be aware of the potential targets for their development and to evaluate their progress (or shortcomings) towards a full achievement of those targets. Based on their monitoring results, the WMGs can adjust their own plan of actions to sustain progresses achieved and set further improvements.

Participatory monitoring provides the field staff a firsthand insight into the WMG's leadership perception of their own performance and upon reflection offers an opportunity to diagnose progress in more depth and consider the identification of further interventions and the facilitation thereof.

---

[1]Inception Report, Blue Gold Program, 2013.

2. Methodology

2.1 Participatory Monitoring Tool

A format with a set of monitoring parameters is used as the participatory monitoring tool. The monitoring parameters are potential targets for development of WMG at outcome level, thus also called outcome challenges. A revised set of monitoring parameters is being used since the 3rd round of PM conducted in October-November 2017. These monitoring parameters reflect the functionality indicators now used across Blue Gold Program. A total of 17 outcome challenges under three themes have been identified as monitoring parameters (see **Annex 1**).

The three themes are:

    (a) agriculture and economic development;
    (b) water management (operation and maintenance of infrastructures); and
    (c) water management group and water management partnership.

The achievement of a WMG against each of the 17 outcome challenges is rated on a scale of 4 progress levels:

    0 = No progress
    1 = Limited progress
    2 = Improved progress
    3 = Full achievement

Each of the four progress levels is provided with a simple and clear definition, i.e. progress marker, so that WMGs can distinguish through internal discussion and reasoning which level they have achieved. The progress markers provide definitions of a set of idealized targets for WMGs. Monitoring by the WMGs of the progress achieved towards these targets then generate firsthand information on systemic changes, which can in turn be used to plan appropriate actions.

The language (Bangla) of the format has been kept as simple as possible and where the words and phrases with special connotations have been used, they have been explained alongside those words and phrases with examples from day-to-day life. The feedback from WMGs on the PM format with the revised set of monitoring parameters has been encouraging – it appears that the WMG members can pretty easily relate these parameters with realities and activities of their everyday life so that they easily understand them.

2.2 Participatory monitoring exercise

Participatory Monitoring was conducted by WMGs of all 22 Blue Gold polders in October 2018. A total of 506 WMGs participated in this round of participatory monitoring exercise. The CDFs were present in the participatory monitoring sessions of most WMGs so that, should the WMGs require any clarification on the monitoring parameters, they are there to do that.

The WMGs assessed their progress vis-à-vis 17 outcome challenges under 3 themes as reflected in the PM format. They discussed the outcome challenges, the potential targets of their development, and progress markers thoroughly and rated their achievements/progress levels by putting scores.

2.3 Reflection on participatory monitoring results

After the results of participatory monitoring were analyzed, the MRL Team shared the results with all polder teams in separate meetings and reviewed/reflected upon the results together. The polder teams actively and spontaneously participated in discussion; they shared their views on the assessment of WMGs as well as gave their assessment of the progress/performance of WMGs.

The polder teams were advised to encourage the WMG Executive Committees to share with the general members 'the potential targets of WMG' as reflected in PM format and the monitoring results at (annual/quarterly) general meetings of WMGs.

3. Project Overview

3.1   Present Status of WMGs

The degree of achievement or progress of WMGs vis-à-vis the outcome challenges, which may alternatively be called performance levels of WMGs, have been determined by the WMGs themselves i.e. through their self-assessment. Basing on the scores they gave against the monitoring parameters, the WMGs have been ranked in 5 performance levels, using letter grades:

A = overall average achievementis>=80%;
B = overall average achievement isbetween 70 and 79%;
C = overall average achievement isbetween 60 and 69%;
D = overall average achievement isbetween 50 and 59%;
E = overall average achievement is<50%.

It is natural to expect that WMGs of older polders will have better performance levels than those of relatively newer polders though in reality it may not always be the case. Table-3.1 shows how old different polders are in consideration of the starting dates of BGP interventions[2].

Table-3.1: Starting dates of BGP interventions

Starting Date of BGP Intervention	Polders
June 2013	Polders 22, 30, 43/2D and 43/2F
August 2013	Polders 43/2A, 29, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E and 26
April 2014	Polder 31 part
August 2014	Polder 2
August 2015	Polders 55/2A and 55/2C
January 2017	Polders 47/3, 47/4, 25, 27/1, 27/2, 28/1 and 28/2
March 2017	Polder 34/2 part

The following table (Table-3.2) shows the numbers of WMGs of 22 polders falling under different grades, i.e. indicating their performance levels, as per self-assessment of WMGs; in the table the polders have been arranged in the order of starting dates of BGP interventions.

---

[2] Community mobilization activity has been the first BGP intervention in all polders.

Table-3.2: Distribution of WMGs as per performancelevels

Performance levels (Grades)	Polder-wise no. and % of WMGs as per performance levels*													
	22	30	43/2D	43/2F	43/2A	29	43/1A	43/2B	43/2E	26	31 Part	2	55/2A	55/2C
A	8 (67)	26 (65)	22 (79)	18 (67)	7 (32)	35 (63)	8 (57)	19 (68)	9 (75)	5 (33)	–	21 (33)	12 (86)	12 (75)
B	4 (33)	7 (18)	6 (21)	8 (29)	7 (32)	18 (32)	6 (43)	8 (29)	3 (25)	8 (54)	8 (67)	30 (48)	1 (7)	4 (25)
C	–	6 (15)	–	1 (4)	6 (27)	3 (5)	–	–	–	2 (13)	4 (33)	9 (14)	1 (7)	–
D	–	1 (2)	–	–	2 (9)	–	–	1 (3)	–	–	–	3 (5)	–	–
E	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Total	12 (100)	40 (100)	28 (100)	27 (100)	22 (100)	56 (100)	14 (100)	28 (100)	12 (100)	15 (100)	12 (100)	63 (100)	14 (100)	16 (100)

Performance levels (Grades)	Polder-wise no. and % of WMGs as per performance levels*								All polders
	47/3	47/4	25	27/1	27/2	28/1	28/2	34/2 Part	
A	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	202 (40)
B	–	–	6 (10)	–	–	–	1 (8)	–	125 (25)
C	2 (29)	2 (11)	4 (6)	–	1 (17)	–	1 (8)	1 (5)	43 (8)
D	5 (71)	7 (39)	12 (20)	1 (7)	3 (50)	–	2 (17)	4 (21)	41 (8)
E	–	9 (50)	39 (64)	13 (93)	2 (33)	10 (100)	8 (67)	14 (74)	95 (19)
Total	7 (100)	18 (100)	61 (100)	14 (100)	6 (100)	10 (100)	12 (100)	19 (100)	506 (100)

*The figures in parentheses indicate % of WMGs in reference to total no. of WMGs in respective polders.

The detailed results of self-assessment by WMGs are given polder-wise in Sections 4. The polder teams by and large agree with the results of self-assessment by the WMGs. The polder teams observed that in general the WMGs have done well in their self-assessment; instances of over-rating or under-rating have decreased significantly compared to previous rounds of PM. The difference between WMGs' self-assessment and polder teams' assessment is shown in the following table.

Table 3.3: Distribution of WMGs intoperformancegroups as per WMGs' self-assessment and polder teams' assessment

Performance levels (Grades)	No. and percentage of WMGs belonging to different performance groups			
	Self-assessment by WMGs		Assessment by Polder Teams	
	No.	%	No.	%
A	202	39.9%	199	39.3%
B	125	24.7%	133	26.3%
C	43	8.5%	46	9.1%
D	41	8.1%	61	12.1%
E	95	18.8%	67	13.2%
Total	506		506	

The charts below show the distribution of 506 WMGs into performance groups.

Distribution of WMGs in performance groups as per self-assessment of WMGs

Performance Grade E 95 WMGs

Performance Grade D 41 WMGs

Performance Grade C 43 WMGs

Performance Grade A 202 WMGs

Performance Grade B 125 WMGs

Distribution of WMGs in performance groups as per polder team's assessment

Performance Grade E 67 WMGs

Performance Grade D 61 WMGs

Performance Grade C 46 WMGs

Performance Grade A 199 WMGs

Performance Grade B 133 WMGS

It is noteworthy that performance levels of about 65% of the total number of WMGs are of 2 top grades ('A'& 'B') as per WMGs' self-assessment, and about 66% as per assessment of polder teams.

3.2   Poor Performance Areas of WMGs

From the PM results it appears that, as they assessed their achievements or progress with respect to 17 potential targets/outcome challenges, the WMGs realized that they are weak on certain aspects and, therefore, they put low scores. The following table gives a picture of how many WMGs (out of 506 WMGs) are weak with respect to which outcome challenges.

Table 3.4: No. of WMGs which have put low scores (0 or 1) with reference to different outcome challenges/potential targets

Sl. No.	Outcome Challenges/Potential Targets of WMGs		No. of WMGs that have put low scores			
			PM – October 2018		PM – April-May 2018	
			Out of 506 WMGs of 22 polders	Out of 147 WMGs of 8 phase-3 polders	Out of 500 WMGs of 22 polders	Out of 141 WMGs of 8 phase-3 polders
1	2.5	WMG has created O&M fund and it uses the fund as per its plan for O&M	183	53	224	113
2	1.7	Farmers undertake collective actions for agricultural activities	182	69	249	136
3	2.1	BWDB has carried out appropriate maintenance work of water management infrastructures	156	94	178	139

Sl. No.		Outcome Challenges/Potential Targets of WMGs	No. of WMGs that have put low scores			
			PM – October 2018		PM – April-May 2018	
			Out of 506 WMGs of 22 polders	Out of 147 WMGs of 8 phase-3 polders	Out of 500 WMGs of 22 polders	Out of 141 WMGs of 8 phase-3 polders
4	2.3	WMG carries out `Routine Maintenance' of all infrastructures of the area	140	77	157	132
5	2.4	Local people are participating in the operation of water management infrastructures under the leadership of WMG by contributing necessary resources	138	63	177	139
6	2.6	People of the WMG area, under the leadership of WMG and with cooperation of BWDB and UP, take care of water requirements of highland and lowland of the area	128	80	165	141
7	3.3	WMG engages in water management related activities jointly with government & non-government organizations and LGIs	94	52	147	132
8	2.2	No infrastructure of the area is under illegal occupation	88	56	128	118
9	1,4	Synchronization in cultivation of crops and crop varieties is practiced in the area	87	44	151	124
10	3.1	WMG has actively formulated the WMG action plan (WAP) and implements it	84	25	168	132
11	1.2	Male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies for fish cultivation	79	43	142	121
12	1.5	In Rabi & Kharif-1 seasons the potential cultivable lands of the area are brought under cultivation	68	28	121	113
13	1.3	Male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies/methods for poultry & livestock rearing	62	27	117	110
14	1.1	Male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern agricultural technologies for crop cultivation, including cultivation of high yielding varieties and high value crops, use of modern crop cultivation methods	52	30	118	115
15	3.2	WMG regularly updates its accounts and other books of records and presents them in meetings	50	22	118	86
16	3.4	There is no conflict relating to use of water and water management in the WMG area	47	29	103	101
17	1.6	In Kharif-2/Aman season the potential cultivable lands of the area are brought under (crop or fish) cultivation.	29	8	66	63

Table 3.4 demonstrates that many WMGs are still weak as regards various outcome challenges. It is worth noting that the outcome challenges relating to water management are among the top poor

performance areas of many WMGs. Nonetheless, if the numbers of WMGs scoring low with reference to the potential targets in the present round of PM are compared with those of the previous round of PM, it is quite evident that there has been a remarkable progress – even many of the WMGs of new polders have progressed a great deal. It may also be noted that as many as 156 WMGs point towards poor periodic maintenance of infrastructures, which is to be done by BWDB.

4. Polder Overview

Polder-wise results of participatory monitoring are given in this section.While the polder teams agree with WMGs' self-assessment to a large extent, there are some disagreements as well, as noted earlier.As the assessment results are reported below polder-wise, the performance level of each WMG has been shown by using a letter grade; if and where the concerned polder team holds different view as regards overall achievement of a WMG, it has been indicated in the next column.

4.1   Polder 22

As per their own assessment, all 12 WMGs of this polder belong to the top 2 performance groups, with 8 WMGs in grade 'A'; thus they report a small setback over their progress as indicated in PM of April-May 2018, when10 WMGs ranked in grade 'A'. In the assessment of the polder team relating to performance of WMGs, however,10 WMGs belong to grade 'A' – the performance level of Bigardana and Senerber WMGs is of grade 'A' and not 'B' as the WMGs rank themselves; *inter alia* they are active WMGs and they take initiatives for improving their water management situation with their own resources; they carry out routine maintenance works of infrastructures; they have good linkages with UP and they undertake water management related joint actions; and they are adopting modern agricultural technologies. According to the polder team, because of some internal problems Kalinagar WMG and Noai WMG are not performing up to the optimal level – because of a 'bad loan' taken by its former Chairman, members of Noai WMG are demotivated so that the WMG is not functioning well, while an influential WMG member, an ex-UP Chairman, is obstructing progress of Kalinagar WMG.

It may be noted that erosion of embankment is a major problem of Polder 22 as a whole. Besides, the polder team observes some kind of lethargy in some WMGs ever since as they came to khow that BGP is phasing out from the polder.

Polder 22 is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being Polders 30, 43/2D, 43/2F, 29, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where, as per WMGs' self-assessment, 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels(and in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40222101	Bigardana	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	A
40222102	DarunMallik	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40222103	Durgapur	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40222104	Fulbari	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40222105	Gopepagla	2.57 (85.71%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
40222106	Harinkhola	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40222107	Hatbari	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40222108	Kalinagar	2.71 (90.48%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40222109	Noai	2.29 (76.19%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40222110	Saidkhali	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40222111	Senerber	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	A-
40222112	Telikhali	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 22 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	8	4	-	-	-	12
Polder team's assessment	10	2	-	-	-	12

4.2   Polder 30

As per their own assessment, 33 out of 40 WMGs of this polder belong to top 2 performance groups, with 26 of them in the topmost level of performance. The polder team, however, ranks 37 WMGs in the top 2 performance groups, with 24 of them at the highest performance level. In any case, it is evident that most WMGs have achieved good progress.

In general the WMGs with active Executive Committees, especially where the Chairperson and Secretary are honest and give their time for WMGs, are functioning well and have shown high performance levels. Seven of the WMGs have put lower scores than previous round of PM. According to the polder team, in case of 4 of these WMGs there has been wrong marking or under-rating of their performance, and one of them (Sukdara Purba WMG) has put low scores from the apprehension that it may not get BGP support anymore if it shows high performance. Several WMGs like Auskhali, Boyarbhanga Paschim, Baguladanga-Patharghata, Britti Salua, Charkhali Machalia, Bajeafti Debitala, Kasiardanga and Deoatala are not progressing well due to weak/inactive leadership, financial mismanagement/bad loan or internal conflicts.

Polder 30 is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being Polders 22, 43/2D, 43/2F, 29, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where, as per WMGs' self-assessment, 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

MM
MOTT
MACDONALD

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40230101	Amtala Kodaldaha	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
40230102	Andharia Khejurtala	2.14 (71.43%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	A
40230103	Auskhali	2.14 (71.43%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40230104	Baguladanga -Patharghata	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
40230105	Bajeafti Debitala	1.71 (57.14%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
40230106	Balabunia	2.86 (95.24%)	2.33 (77.78%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40230107	Barun Para	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40230108	Basurabad	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40230109	Batiaghata	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40230110	Boyarbhanga Madhya	1.86 (61.90%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	A
40230111	Boyarbhanga Paschim	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
40230112	Boyarbhanga Purba	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40230113	Britti Khalsebunia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
40230114	BrittiSalua	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	-
40230115	Chak Solemari	2.43 (80.95%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40230116	Charkhali Machalia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.67 (88.89%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	B
40230117	Debitala	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40230118	Deoatala	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
40230119	Gangarampur	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40230120	Hatbati Dakshin	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40230121	Hatbati Uttar	2.29 (76.19%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	B
40230122	Hetalbunia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
40230123	Hogolbunia Dakshin	2.29 (76.19%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
40230124	Hogolbunia Uttar & Madhya	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	B

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40230125	Kaemkhola	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40230126	Kaemkhola Hula	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	B
40230127	Kanthaltala Gondhamari	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
40230128	Kasiradanga	2.00 (66.67%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
40230129	Katamari Gopalkhali	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40230130	Katianagla	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	B
40230131	Khalsibunia	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40230132	Kismat Phultala	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40230133	Mailmara	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40230134	Maitbhanga -Bhennabunia	2.86 (95.24%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
40230135	Masiar Danga	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40230136	Par Batiaghata-Baruirabad	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40230137	Par Salua	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40230138	Phultala	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	B
40230139	Sukhdara (Purba)	1.86 (61.90%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	A
40230140	Titukhali - Partitukhali	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 30 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	26	7	6	1	-	40
Polder team's assessment	24	13	3	-	-	40

4.3 Polder 43/2D

As per their own assessment, all 28 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 22 of them in the highest level of performance/progress. In polder team's assessment 27 WMGs belong to the top 2 performance groups. The polder team, however, differs in grading 4 WMGs – in case of one WMG, Dibuapur, the polder team thinks that its performance level is higher than what the WMG itself has suggested, while for 3 others the polder team feels that the WMGs have rated their performance higher than the reality.

Three WMGs, namely Uttar Bahalgachia, Dibuapur and Ballabhpur, are very close to Patuakhali town and, as there are no water management infrastructures in their area, there is no water management activity there. However, because of its experience of running a big cooperative society (which is active and profitably running), the performance of Dibuapur WMG is otherwise quite good so that the polder team would rank it as belonging to "A" grade. According to the polder team, the performance of WMGs largely depends on their leadership. Where there is good leadership in the WMGs -meaning that, the Chairperson and Secretary are active and are able to give time for WMG- good performance is likely to ensue as a natural consequence.

Progress of WMGs can be hampered by internal conflicts within leadership, weak leadership or interference in WMG activities by political personnel/influential people; WMGs like Keshabpur, Chamta and Purba Gerakhali are facing such problems, because of which their performance in not improving.

The participatory monitoring results show that a number of WMGs of this polder admit that they are still weak with regard to the outcome challenge labeled as 'O&M fund creation' and some WMGs report that they are weak in 'collective actions for agricultural activities'.

Polder 43/2D is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being Polders 22, 30, 43/2F, 29, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2D101	Charabunia	3.00 (100.00%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.94 (98.04%)	A	B
10243_2D102	Sankarpur	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2D103	Uttar Bahalgachia	2.71 (90.48%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10243_2D104	Dibuapur	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	A

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2D105	Ballabhpur	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10243_2D106	PaschimSarikkhali	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10243_2D201	Abad Hajikhali	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10243_2D202	DakshinHajikhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
10243_2D203	PurbaGerakhali	2.43 (80.95%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10243_2D204	PurbaGerakhali Uttar	2.43 (80.95%)	2.67 (88.89%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	B
10243_2D205	Pakshia	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10243_2D301	Bara Auliapur Uttar	2.71 (90.48%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10243_2D302	Chamta	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.75 (58.33%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
10243_2D303	Keshabpur	2.71 (90.48%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	C
10243_2D304	Thangai	2.14 (71.43%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
10243_2D305	PurbaAuliapur	2.43 (80.95%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
10243_2D401	Barunbaria	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2D402	Tafalbaria	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2D403	DakshinMarichbunia	2.86 (95.24%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2D404	Uttar Bazarghona	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10243_2D405	PurbaMarichbunia	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10243_2D406	Patukhali	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10243_2D407	DakshinBazarghona	3.00 (100.00%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10243_2D501	PurbaPanchaKoralia	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10243_2D502	ChhotaAuliapurDakshin	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10243_2D503	Bara AuliapurPurba	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10243_2D504	ChotoAuliapur Uttar	3.00 (100.00%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.94 (98.04%)	A	-
10243_2D505	PaschimPanchaKoralia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 43/2D as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	22	6	-	-	-	28
Polder team's assessment	21	6	1	-	-	28

4.4   Polder 43/2F

As per their own assessment, 26 out of 27 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 18 of them in the highest level of performance. Thus an steady improvement is evidenced in the progress of WMGs of the polder if compared to what were reported in the last 2 rounds of PM done in April-May 2018 and October-November 2017, when 16 and 11 WMGs respectively ranked in grade 'A'. The numbers of WMGs in different performance groups are the same in WMGs' self-assessment and polder team's assessment though the polder team differs in grading of 2 WMGs. It may be noted that Dakshin Purba Kalibari WMG stands out as a good WMG with some remarkable initiatives in the fields of water management, net-working with water management partners and organizational management; BWDB has selected it as the 'best WMG' of the polder for the year 2018. On the other hand, a number of WMGs have problem with leadership, because of Which their performance is not improving as might be expected; in most cases, the persons holding key positions in the Executive Committees are inactive. The WMGs facing this problem include Fakirkhali-Gojkhali, Bainbunia, Bazarkhali, Dakshin Gulishakhali, Madhya Gulishakhali and Paschim Kalagachia.

Polder 43/2F is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/2D, 29, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

The participatory monitoring results show that, despite good overall ratings, some WMGs admit that they are still weak with regard to the outcome challenge of 'O&M fund creation' and some WMGs report that they are weak in 'collective actions for agricultural activities'.

WMG ID.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10143_2F101	Dakshin-Purba Kalibari	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10143_2F102	Uttar Dalachara Uttar	2.57 (85.71%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10143_2F103	PaschimKalagachia Paschim	2.14 (71.43%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	A
10143_2F104	Uttar Gojkhali	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10143_2F105	Dakshin-Paschim Kalibari	3.00 (100.00%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10143_2F106	Fakirkhali-Gojkhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10143_2F107	Dakshin Gojkhali	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10143_2F108	Deppur	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	B
10143_2F109	Bainbuna	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10143_2F201	Purba Gulisakhali	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10143_2F202	Uttar Dalachara	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
10143_2F203	Madhya Dalachara	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10143_2F204	Bazarkhali	2.14 (71.43%)	1.83 (61.11%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-

WMG ID.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10143_2F205	Uttar Khekuani	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10143_2F206	Dakshin Khekuani	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10143_2F207	Dakshin Angulkata	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10143_2F208	Uttar Angulkata	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10143_2F209	Dakshin Dalachara	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10143_2F210	Dakshin Gulisakhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10143_2F211	Madhya Gulisakhali	2.29 (76.19%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10143_2F301	Uttar Kalibari-Bazarghona	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10143_2F302	Uttar Gulisakhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10143_2F303	Dakshin Haridrabaria	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
10143_2F304	Uttar Haridrabaria	2.43 (80.95%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10143_2F305	Paschim Kalagachia	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
10143_2F306	Madhya Kalagachia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-

WMG ID.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10143_2F307	Uttar Purba Kalagachia	3.00 (100.00%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.94 (98.04%)	A	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 43/2F as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	18	8	1	-	-	27
Polder team's assessment	18	8	1	-	-	27

4.5   Polder 43/2A

As per their own assessment, 14 out of 22 WMGs of this polder belong to top 2 performance groups, with 7 of them in the highest performance level; thus a gradual improvement can be seen in the performance of WMGs of the polder if compared to what were reported in the last 2 rounds of PM done in April-May 2018 and October-November 2017, when 11 and 3 WMGs respectively ranked in grade 'A'.

This is one of the old polders so that one could expect better progress of WMGs than what is reported but, as reported by the polder team, several factors contribute to the slow progress of WMGs, most common of which are internal conflicts within WMGs, weak/inactive leadership, court cases in WMGs, comparatively fewer FFS conducted, and (as many as 9 WMGs mention that) there has not been enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB. In polder team's assessment 15 WMGs belong to the top 2 performance groups.

The results of self-assessment of WMGs indicate that a number of WMGs of this polder are still weak with regard to a few outcome challenges,like 'adoption of modern technologies in fish cultivation', 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'O&M fund creation', 'formulation and implementation of WMG action plan' and 'updating accounts and books of records of WMGs'.

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2A101	Bhajna	2.00 (66.67%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
10243_2A102	Haritakibaria	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
10243_2A103	Kumarkhali	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	A
10243_2A104	Madhya Matibhanga	1.71 (57.14%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
10243_2A105	Matibnanga-Chhota Bighai	2.29 (76.19%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10243_2A106	Paschim Chhota Bighai	1.71 (57.14%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
10243_2A107	Paschim Matibhanga	2.29 (76.19%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2A108	Pubra Matibhanga	1.86 (61.90%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	A
10243_2A109	Purba Chhota Bighai	1.86 (61.90%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.82 (60.78%)	C	-
10243_2A110	Tushkhali	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10243_2A111	Nandipara-Madarbunia	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
10243_2A201	Dakshin Bighai Dakshin	2.43 (80.95%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
10243_2A202	Dakshin Bighai Uttar	2.00 (66.67%)	1.67 (55.56%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
10243_2A203	Dakshin Titkata	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
10243_2A204	Pasaribunia	1.86 (61.90%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	C
10243_2A205	Paschim Bara Bighai	2.57 (85.71%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10243_2A206	Paschim Kewabunia	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10243_2A207	Paschim Titkata	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10243_2A208	Patukhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2A209	Pubra Kewabunia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
10243_2A210	Purba Bara Bighai	1.71 (57.14%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
10243_2A211	Purba Titkata	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 43/2A as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	7	7	6	2	-	22
Polder team's assessment	9	6	6	1	-	22

4.6   Polder 29

As per their own assessment, 53 out of 56 WMGs of this polder belong to top 2 performance groups, with 35 in the topmost level of performance group. According to the polder team's assessment, the same number of (53) WMGs of the polder belong to the 2 top performance categories but the polder team differs on the number of WMGs in the topmost performance category - 30 WMGs in 'A' grage. Progress of a few WMGs –Ula Dakshin, Dumuria Uttar and Sahas Kkumarghata– is stagnant as it were; their main problem is weak leadership.

Polder 29 is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/2D, 43/2F, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

The outcome challenge or potential target area where a number of WMGs still feel that their performance is poor is 'undertaking collective action in agricultural activities'.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40129101	Bakultala	2.86 (95.24%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40129102	Banda	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40129103	Bhandar Para	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
40129104	DKB	2.43 (80.95%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40129105	Ghona	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129106	Hajibunia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129107	Jabra	2.57 (85.71%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
40129108	Kanchan Nagar	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
40129109	Kharibunia	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	B
40129110	Lahaidanga	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40129111	Maikhali	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40129112	Orabunia - Rajnagar	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
40129113	Perikhali – Chak Sonadanga	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
40129114	Rajibpur Dakshin Mahal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
40129115	Taltala Kusarhula	2.57 (85.71%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129116	Telikhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
40129117	Ula Charail	2.29 (76.19%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40129118	Ula Dakshin	2.14 (71.43%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
40129119	Dumuria Uttar	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
40129120	Dumuria Dakshin	2.86 (95.24%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.94 (98.04%)	A	-
40129121	Gajendrapur Dakshin	2.29 (76.19%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40129122	Gajendrapur Uttar	2.86 (95.24%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40129123	Kapalidanga	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40129201	Baghdari	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
40129202	Chatchatia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129203	D G K C	2.29 (76.19%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
40129204	Kagaji Para	2.14 (71.43%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
40129205	KDC	2.43 (80.95%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129206	Kharsanda	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40129207	Kukhia	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
40129208	Noakhati	2.14 (71.43%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
40129209	Rajapur	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40129210	Sahas Ghoshgati	2.43 (80.95%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40129211	Sahas Madhyapara	2.86 (95.24%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129212	Sahas Joykhali	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
40129213	Sahas Kumarghata	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.75 (58.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40129214	Akra-BahirAkra	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
40129215	Asannagar	2.86 (95.24%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
40129216	Baniakhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	B
40129217	BCG	2.57 (85.71%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
40129218	Bhulbaria	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	B
40129219	Britti Bhulbaria	2.43 (80.95%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	B
40129220	Dakshin Kalikapur	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	B
40129221	Dakshin Sarappur	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
40129222	Jhaltala	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
40129223	Keakhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40129224	Ratankhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40129225	Senpara	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
40129226	Taiabpur	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40129227	Uttar Kalikapur	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
40129228	Uttar Sarappur	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
40129229	Bara Aria	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129230	Kodla Mathbari	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40129231	Sambhunagar	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
40129232	Sundar Mahal Paschim	2.29 (76.19%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
40129233	Sundar Mahal Purba	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 29 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	35	18	3	-	-	56
Polder team's assessment	30	23	3	-	-	56

4.7 Polder 43/1A

As per their own assessment, all 14 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 8 of them in grade 'A' progress level; the polder team's assessment fully matches with WMGs' self-assessment.. A steady progress of WMGs can be seen in this polder – the number of WMGs belonging to the 2 top categories has gradually increased over the period – no WMG in top 2 grades in April 2017, 5 WMGs in 2 top grades in October 2017 amd 12 WMGs in 2 top grades in April 2018. As noted in the Working Paper 8D. 'improvement in the water management situation –due to rehabilitation of sluices and re-excavation of khal– in the polder stimulated WMGs to be more active and perform better.'

Polder 43/1A is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/2D, 29, 43/2F, 43/2B, 43/2E, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

However, the participatory monitoring results show that a number of WMGs still feel that they are weak with respect to outcome challenges under water management theme, especially as regards 'creation of O&M fund'.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMGperformance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10143_1A101	Chowla	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10143_1A102	Uttar Atharagashia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10143_1A103	Uttar Sonakhali	2.71 *90.48%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
10143_1A104	Dakshin Sonakhali	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
10143_1A105	Paschim Sonakhali	2.71 *90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10143_1A106	Khagdon	2.71 *90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMGperformance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10143_1A107	Paschim Atharagashia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10143_1A108	Dakshin Atharagashia	2.71 *90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10143_1A201	Paschim Keowabunia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
10143_1A202	Purba Chunakhali	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10143_1A203	Purba Sakharia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10143_1A204	Paschim Sakharia	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10143_1A205	Purba Keowabunia	2.71 *90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10143_1A206	Roybala	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 43/`A as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	8	6	-	-	-	14
Polder team's assessment	8	6	-	-	-	14

4.8 Polder 43/2B

As per their own assessment, 27 out of 28 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 19 of them in grade 'A' progress level. The polder team agrees with the self-assessment of WMGs, except for 2 cases – in both the cases the polder team feels that the WMGs have over-rated themselves a little, and in polder team's assessment 26 WMGs belong to the 2 top performance groups. A number of WMGs have re-energized, as it were, after new Executive Committees (fully or partially) were elected. The performance levels of WMGs have been much the same during the last round of PM in April-May 2018 – according to WMGs' self-assessment, 26 WMGs 26 belonged to 2 top performance groups. Two of the WMGs –Nijsuhari-Dakshin Chhailabunia and Garabunia– are not performing well due to organizational problems; there being conflict between 2 groups, there is no Executive Committee in Nijsuhari-Dakshin Chhailabunia and all key positions in the Executive Committee are occupied by members of a family.

Polder 43/2B is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/1A, 43/2D, 29, 43/2F, 43/2E, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups. However, the participatory monitoring results show that a number of WMGs still feel that their performance is poor in 'undertaking collective action in agricultural activities' and in 'creation of O&M fund'.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMG | Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %) | | | Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in % | Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades) | |
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2B101	Alor Dishari	3.00 (100.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2B102	Suhari Mini Polder	2.29 (76.19%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10243_2B103	Algi-Tafalbaria	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10243_2B104	Chingaria-Dakshin Balaikati	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2B105	Ramdula	2.29 (76.19%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
10243_2B106	Dari Baherchar	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2B107	Madhya Amkhola	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10243_2B108	Dakshin Amkhola	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10243_2B109	Uttar Amkhola	2.00 (66.67%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
10243_2B110	Bhangra	2.29 (76.19%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
10243_2B111	Mushurikathi	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	A	-
10243_2B201	Algi-Chhailtabunia	3.00 (100.00%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10243_2B202	Gol Bauria	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10243_2B203	Kanchanbaria-Khantakhali	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2B204	Purba Sonakhali	2.71 (90.48%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2B205	Garabunia	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	C
10243_2B206	Ramananda	2.29 (76.19%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-

Sl. No.	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2B301	Uttar Chhailabunia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10243_2B302	Nijsuhari-Dakshin Chhailabunia	2.14 (71.43%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	E
10243_2B303	Dakshin-Paschim Badura	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2B304	Uttar Badura	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10243_2B305	Balaikati	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
10243_2B306	Purba Badura	2.86 (95.24%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10243_2B307	Dakshin-Purba Badura	2.57 (85.71%)	2.67 (88.89%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10243_2B308	Uttar-Paschim Gol Banshbunia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10243_2B309	Madhya Chhailabunia	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
10243_2B310	Dakshin-Purba Gol Banshbunia	2.86 (95.24%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10243_2B311	Kalai Kishore	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 43/2B as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	19	8	-	1	-	28
Polder team's assessment	19	7	1	-	1	28

4.9   Polder 43/2E

As per their own assessment, all 12 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 9 of them in grade 'A' progress level. In polder team's assessment 4 WMGs have over-rated their progress, especially the performance of Uttar Sehakati WMG is much lower than how it has rated itself; according the polder team, though its performance as regards water management is moderate, the WMG is weak in all other respects.

Polder 43/2E is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/1A, 43/2D, 29, 43/2F, 43/2B, 26, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

However, the participatory monitoring results show that a number of WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to the outcome challenges under water management theme, especially 'creation of O&M fund' and 'participation in operation of water management infrastructure'.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (andachievementin %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2E101	Purba Jainkati Purba	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10243_2E102	Char Jainkati Purba	2.29 (76.19%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
10243_2E103	Char Jainkati Paschim	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (andachievementin %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10243_2E104	Purba Jainkati Paschim	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
10243_2E105	Pirtola	2.57 (85.71%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	B
10243_2E106	Talbaria	2.71 (90.48%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	B
10243_2E201	Uttar Sehakati	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	C
10243_2E202	DakshinSehakati Uttar	2.29 (76.19%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
10243_2E203	Dakshin SehakatiDakshin	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10243_2E204	Fedainagar	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10243_2E205	Katura Taluk	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	B
10243_2E206	Purba Jainkati Madhya	2.29 (76.19%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 43/2E as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	9	3	-	-	-	12
Polder team's assessment	5	6	1	-	-	12

4.10   Polder 26

As per their own assessment, 13 out of 15 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 5 of them in the highest level of performance. Though there is a slight rise in the number of WMGs in 2 top performance groups compared to the last round of PM, a setback is reported in the number of WMGs in the topmost performance group – Madhya Sovna Purba WMG puts lower scores than that in the previous round of PM. However, in polder team's assessment 12 WMGs belong to 2 top performance groups, with 6 of them in grade 'A' including Madhya Sovna Purba WMG. Three WMGs –Bagmara, Dakshin Chingra and Purba Sovna Paschim– are not functioning well; all of these three WMGs have problem with leadership – politically influential persons are controlling the situation, not letting the Executive Committees to function independently.   .

Polder 26 is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/1A, 43/2D, 29, 43/2F, 43/2B, 43/2E, 2, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups. However, the participatory monitoring results show that a number of WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to the outcome challenge 'creation of O&M fund'.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40126101	Bagmara	2.29 (76.19%)	1.67 (55.56%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
40126102	Balabunia Gopalnagar	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
40126103	Dakshin Chingra	2.43 (80.95%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	-
40126104	Jialtala	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.82 (94.12%)	A	-
40126105	Kadamtala	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40126106	Kakmari	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-

MOTT
MACDONALD

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40126107	Madhya Sovna Paschim	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
40126108	Madhya Sovna Purba	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	A
40126109	Malmalia	2.86 (95.24%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
40126110	Paschim Sovna Dakshin	2.43 (80.95%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
40126111	PaschimSovna Uttar	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
40126112	Patibunia	2.71 (90.48%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
40126113	PurbaSovna Paschim	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	C
40126114	Shibpur Badurgachha	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
40126115	Uttar Chingra	2.43 (80.95%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 26 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	5	8	2	-	-	15
Polder team's assessment	6	6	3	-	-	15

Blue Gold Program

MM MOTT MACDONALD

4.11   Polder 31 Part

In WMGs' own assessment, none of 12 WMGs of the polder is ranked in grade 'A' but performance level of 8 WMGs is of grade 'B'. In polder team's assessment, however, 10 WMGs belong to 2 top performance groups, including 4 in grade 'A'. The WMGs continue to exhibit quite a high level of performance despite various impediments to proper water management; as the polder team reported, construction of water management infrastructures (sluices) is not complete yet, salinity level is high and the outfall river is silted up.

Only a few WMGs feel that they are weak with respect to some outcome challenges – in 'taking collective actions for agricultural activities', in 'creating O&M fund' and some infrastructures are 'under illegal occupation'.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40231P101	Bunarabad Madhya para	2.14 (71.43%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	B
40231P102	Bunarabad-Goriardanga	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	A
40231P103	Char Danga	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
40231P104	Gawghara Madhya para	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
40231P105	Geramari Khal	2.00 (66.67%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
40231P106	Ghater Khal	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	A
40231P107	Kechorabad Khal	2.14 (71.43%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	B
40231P108	Nondonkhali	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	A

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40231P109	Ralia	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
40231P110	Razakhar Beel	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
40231P111	Sanpa-Bara Bhuiya	2.00 (66.67%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
40231P112	Thandamari Khal	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	B

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 31 Part as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	8	4	-	-	12
Polder team's assessment	4	6	2	-	-	12

4.12   Polder 2

As per their own assessment, 51 out of 63 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 21 of them in the highest level of performance; it is thus a significant progress that has been reported by WMGs – in the last round of PM only 8 WMGs ranked themselves in grade 'A'. The progress of WMGs is significant yet for another reason – while 6 WMGs of this polder ranked themselves in grade 'E' in the last PM, there is none in grade 'E' this time; even 2 of them place themselves in grade 'B'.

Polder 2 is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/1A, 43/2D, 29, 26, 43/2F, 43/2B, 43/2E, 55/2A and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups. However, many WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number

ofoutcome challenges, the most common poor performance areas being: 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'creation of O&M fund and planned use of the fund', 'participation in operation and maintenance', 'synchronization in crop cultivation' and 'formulation and implementation of WAP'. Some of the WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievem ent in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessm ent (indicated where it differs)
4032_2101	Amodkhali khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
4032_2102	Buramara	2.14 (71.43%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
4032_2103	Chelar Beeler khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
4032_2104	Dakshin Buramara	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
4032_2105	Darikkha khal	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-A
4032_2106	Dheghur Beeler khal	2.29 (76.19%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
4032_2107	Ghoshkhali Khal	2.29 (76.19%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
4032_2108	Guddir Beeler khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	B
4032_2109	Hazikhali khal	2.71 (90.48%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
4032_2110	Jhiyar khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
4032_2111	Kochuar Beeler khal	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
4032_2112	Koikhali khal	2.86 (95.24%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.47 (82.35%)	A	-
4032_2113	Kulla Amodkhali khal	2.57 (85.71%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
4032_2114	Morichchap	2.71 (90.48%)	2.17 (72.22%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
4032_2115	Pallerchand khal	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	B
4032_2116	Paschim Chelar Beeler khal	2.14 (71.43%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
4032_2117	Purba Amodkhali khal	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
4032_2118	Purba Buramara khal	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2119	Purba Kochuar Beeler khal	2.57 (85.71%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
4032_2120	Shimulbaria khal	2.57 (85.71%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
4032_2121	Shishar Khal	2.14 (71.43%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
4032_2122	Shoilmari Khal	2.43 (80.95%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.88 (62.75%)	C	B

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
4032_2123	Uttar Palechand Khal	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
4032_2201	Andarmanik khal	2.29 (76.19%)	1.67 (55.56%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
4032_2202	Nowa Para	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2203	Beula Nayeber khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-A
4032_2204	Lambadanga Zamirer khall	2.00 (66.67%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.88 (62.75%)	C	B
4032_2205	Naikati Bottala khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2206	Surjakhali khal-02	2.43 (80.95%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-A
4032_2207	Nobadkhali khal	1.29 (42.86%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.82 (60.78%)	C	B
4032_2208	Jori Beeler khal	2.43 (80.95%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
4032_2209	Himkhali khal	2.57 (85.71%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-A
4032_2210	Dakshin Chapra khal	1.29 (42.86%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	C
4032_2211	Budhhata Paschim Para	2.57 (85.71%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
4032_2212	Umarkhali khal	1.29 (42.86%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	-
4032_2213	Surjokhali Khal-01	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2214	Jordia Eru khal	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
4032_2215	Delkhola khal	2.43 (80.95%)	1.67 (55.56%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
4032_2216	Budhhata Dakshinpara Bakri Beeler khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
4032_2301	Baluigachha Dhulihar	2.00 (66.67%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
4032_2302	Bardal Baghdangi	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2303	Bardal Paschim Para	2.57 (85.71%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	B
4032_2304	Berbari-Tamaltala	2.57 (85.71%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
4032_2305	Damarpota khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
4032_2306	Darar khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-
4032_2307	Dhulihar Nathpara	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
4032_2308	Fatiker Beeler khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
4032_2309	Gobindapur Uttar	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2310	Jeala Badhandanga	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
4032_2311	Madhya Adahrmanik Khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2312	Pashchim Maskhola Paschim	2.57 (85.71%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
4032_2313	Pashchim Maskhola Purba	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
4032_2314	Purba Maskhola Paschim	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
4032_2315	Purba Maskhola Purba	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
4032_2316	Sana Para	2.43 (80.95%)	1.83 (61.11%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
4032_2317	Shalley Paschim Para O Beradangi	2.57 (85.71%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
4032_2318	Shalley Purba Para	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	B
4032_2319	Suparighata O Sana Para	2.71 (90.48%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
4032_2401	Gopinathpur Beeler khal	2.43 (80.95%)	1.67 (55.56%)	1.75 (58.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
4032_2402	Koi Khali - Fulbari Kata khal	2.29 (76.19%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
4032_2403	Mukundapur Beeler Khal	2.14 (71.43%)	1.83 (61.11%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
4032_2404	Rajnagar O Khelardangi khal	2.43 (80.95%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	-
4032_2405	Uttar Dhakhin Debnagar	2.57 (85.71%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 2 & 2 Ext. as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	21	30	9	3	-	63
Polder team's assessment	21	33	7	2	-	63

4.13   Polder 55/2A

As per their own assessment, 13 out of 14 WMGs of the polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 12 of them in the highest level of performance. The progress of WMGs is strikingly high compared to what the WMGs had reported during previous rounds of PM, including that in April-May 2018. Even in the last round of PM none of 14 WMGs of the polder was ranked in grade 'A' while only 1 WMG ranked in grade 'B' and 11 in grade 'C'. The polder team's assessment fully coincides with that of the WMGs' self-assessment. According to the polder team, with the start of rehabilitation works of infrastructures and changes in their Executive Committees (through election), the WMGs have become active and enthusiasm/initiatives can be seen in them for improving their condition; besides –as the polder team explains– there was a 'gap in reporting' the progress of WMGs due to frequent changes of BGP staff in the polder.

Polder 55/2A is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/1A, 43/2D, 29, 26, 2, 43/2F, 43/2B, 43/2E and 55/2C- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10255_2A101	Akhoibaria Bahermouze	2.71 (90.48%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10255_2A102	Betagi Chikerbandh	2.86 (95.24%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10255_2A103	Betagi Sankipur Radhasetaram	3.00 (100.00%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10255_2A104	Bot O Char Balakati Krokmahal	2.86 (95.24%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.41 (80.39%)	A	-
10255_2A105	Char Moishadi Sluice	2.86 (95.24%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10255_2A106	Chownkhola Labilochan Lamna	3.00 (100.00%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10255_2A107	Dakshin Dharandi Bazar Sluice	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10255_2A108	Dharandi Kamlapur Adharsha	3.00 (100.00%)	2.33 (77.78%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10255_2A109	Hazirhat Sluice	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10255_2A110	Kharija Betagi Sluice	2.43 (80.95%)	1.83 (61.11%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.00 (66.67%)	C	-
10255_2A111	Madhya Dharandi Chandipur	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10255_2A112	Naomala Nizbotkazal Bhangra	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10255_2A113	Patabunia	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10255_2A114	Sankipur Moishadi Naomala Adharsha	2.86 (95.24%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 55/2A as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	12	1	1	-	-	14
Polder team's assessment	12	1	1	-	-	14

4.14   Polder 55/2C

As per their own assessment, all 16 WMGs of this polder belong to 2 top performance groups, with 12 of them in the highest level of performance – compared to the results of last round of PM, one less WMG is reported to belong to the topmost performance group. The polder team's assessment fully matches with the self-assessment of WMGs. According to the polder team, the four WMGs that are in grade 'B' have potential to improve their performance; their main bottleneck for improvement is their weak/inactive leadership.

Polder 55/2C is one of the twelve BGP polders -others being polders 22, 30, 43/1A, 43/2D, 29, 26, 2, 43/2F, 43/2B, 43/2E and 55/2A- where 80% or more WMGs fall under the top 2 performance groups.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (andachievementin %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10255_2C101	Kamarkhali Khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.29 (76.47%)	B	-
10255_2C102	Lamna-Guabaria Khal	2.57 (85.71%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10255_2C103	Guabaria-Ranuar Khal	2.86 (95.24%)	2.83 (94.44%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10255_2C104	Bakulbaria-Kharizza Betagi Sonamiar Khal	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.53 (84.31%)	A	-
10255_2C105	Budaram Khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (andachievementin %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10255_2C106	Rohitpura Khal	3.00 (100.00%)	2.83 (94.44%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10255_2C107	Madhupura-Denath Khan Khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.67 (88.89%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10255_2C201	Kalyankalash Prodhan Khal	3.00 (100.00%)	2.67 (88.89%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.88 (96.08%)	A	-
10255_2C202	Ulashir Khal	2.86 (95.24%)	2.33 (77.78%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.71 (90.20%)	A	-
10255_2C203	Bashabaria Puler Khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-
10255_2C204	Sutabaria Khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10255_2C205	Kachua-Mohisdanga Khal	2.57 (85.71%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.59 (86.27%)	A	-
10255_2C206	Chilar Khal	2.71 (90.48%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10255_2C207	Kharizzama Khal	2.86 (95.24%)	2.50 (83.33%)	3.00 (100.00%)	2.76 (92.16%)	A	-
10255_2C208	Katakhali Khal	3.00 (100.00%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.65 (88.24%)	A	-
10255_2C209	Bhadrabariar Khal	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.35 (78.43%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 55/2C as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	12	4	-	-	-	16
Polder team's assessment	12	4	-	-	-	16

4.15   Polder 47/3

In their own assessment, out of 7 WMGs of the polder, 2 WMGs are ranked in grade 'C' and 5 WMGs in grade 'D'. Thus, the progress of WMGs looks positive - all 7 WMGs of the polder were ranked in grade 'E' in the last round of PM in April-May 2018. Polder team's assessment coincides with the self-assessment of WMGs. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise.

The participatory monitoring results show that most WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges, the most common poor performance areas being: 'adoption of modern agricultural technologies', 'synchronization in cultivation of crops'. 'participation in operation and maintenance' and 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels'.The WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10347_3101	Dakshin Char Para Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
10347_3102	Golbunia-Aramgonj Khal	1.43 (47.62%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10347_3103	Mela Para Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.82 (60.78%)	C	-
10347_3104	Paschim Modukhali Sluice	1.71 (57.14%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.82 (60.78%)	C	-
10347_3105	Shafakhali-Islampur Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	-
10347_3106	Teghachia-Azimuddin Khal	1.57 (52.38%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	-
10347_3107	Uttar Char Para Khal	1.43 (47.62%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.59 (52.94%)	D	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 47/3 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	-	2	5	-	7
Polder team's assessment	-	-	2	5	-	7

4.16   Polder 47/4

In their own assessment, none of the 18 WMGs of the polder has ranked in the 2 top performance categories – 2 WMGs are ranked in grade 'C', 7 WMGs in grade 'D' and the remaining 9 WMGs in grade 'E'. Progress can thus be observed in the performance of WMGs: 3 WMGs of the polder were ranked in grade 'D' and 15 WMGs in grade 'E' in the last round of PM (conducted in April-May 2018). Besides, the polder team ranks 2 of the

WMGs in grade 'D', which are ranked in grade 'E' in WMGs' self-assessment, while it agrees with self-assessment of WMGs for the remaining WMGs. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise.

The participatory monitoring results show that most WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges, the most common poor performance areas being: 'adoption of modern agricultural technologies', 'bringing under cultivation the potential cultivable lands during dry months', 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'keeping infrastructures free from illegal occupation'. 'routine maintenance of infrastructures', 'participation in operation and maintenance' and 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels'. Most WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10347_4101	Adam Ali Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
10347_4102	Ak-koralia Khal	1.43 (47.62%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
10347_4103	Amtali Khal	1.57 (52.38%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	-
10347_4104	Ayium Para Khal	1.29 (42.86%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
10347_4105	Boltali-Noyakata-Tarikata Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
10347_4106	Borkotia Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
10347_4107	Char Nazib Khal	1.29 (42.86%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
10347_4108	Company Khal	2.14 (71.43%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
10347_4109	Dhora Khal	1.86 (61.90%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.59 (52.94%)	D	-
10347_4110	Hetalbunia Khal	2.00 (66.67%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	-
10347_4111	Katakhali-Kabirar Khal	1.43 (47.62%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	-
10347_4112	Khekhar Khal	2.00 (66.67%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	-
10347_4113	ModukhaliKhal	1.43 (47.62%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
10347_4114	Monoshatali Khal	1.43 (47.62%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	D
10347_4115	Pakkshia Para Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	-
10347_4116	Shapla Dogir Khal	2.14 (71.43%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
10347_4117	Shonirvor Khal	1.29 (42.86%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	-
10347_4118	Varanir Khal	1.71 (57.14%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	D

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 47/4 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	-	2	7	9	18
Polder team's assessment	-	-	2	9	7	18

4.17   Polder 25

In their own assessment, 6 WMGs of the polder have ranked in grade 'B', 4 WMGs in grade 'C', 12 WMGs in grade 'D' and the remaining 39 WMGs in grade 'E'. It is reasonably a good progress in the performance of WMGs compared to what was reported during the last round of PM conducted in April-May 2018, when all 55 WMGs that participated in PM ranked in grade 'E'. The polder team's assessment differs from that of WMGs'. According to the polder team, the performance of many WMGs is better than what the WMGs themselves report; while in WMGs' self-assessment 39 WMGs rank in grade 'E', the polder team ranks 23 WMGs in grade 'E'. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise

The PM results show that most WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges, the most common poor performance areas being: 'synchronization in cultivation of crops', 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'participation in routine maintenance of infrastructures', 'participation in operation and maintenance', 'collection of O&M fund and its planned use', 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels' and 'carrying out water management activities jointly with other water management partners'. Most WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40125101	Alka	1.57 (52.38%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	D
40125102	Andulia	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	0.25 (8.33%)	0.82 (27.45%)	E	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40125103	Angardoha -singha	1.29 (42.86%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.12 (37.25%)	E	-
40125104	Baddakati	1.57 (52.38%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	D
40125105	Bamundia	1.29 (42.86%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	E	-
40125106	Baniapukur-Garakhola Uttar	1.29 (42.86%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40125107	Baruna	1.71 (57.14%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.59 (52.94%)	D	C
40125108	Bhadradia	2.14 (71.43%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
40125109	Borunpara	1.43 (47.62%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	D
40125110	Botbera-Baranosi	1.57 (52.38%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
40125111	Chahera	0.86 (28.57%)	0.17 (5.56%)	0.75 (25.00%)	0.59 (19.61%)	E	-
40125112	Chechuri	1.71 (57.14%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.59 (50.98%)	D	C
40125113	Chhatiani	1.71 (57.14%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	-
40125114	Chhaybaria	1.43 (47.62%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	-
40125115	Dakatia	1.14 (38.10%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40125116	Damodar	1.14 (38.10%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.12 (37.25%)	E	D
40125117	Damodar Paschim	1.43 (47.62%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	D
40125118	Daokona	1.86 (61.90%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	-
40125119	Deruli	1.29 (42.86%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	-
40125120	Dhamalia	1.00 (33.33%)	0.17 (5.56%)	0.50 (16.67%)	0.59 (19.61%)	E	-
40125121	Dohakula	0.86 (28.57%)	0.33 (11.11%)	0.75 (25.00%)	0.65 (21.57%)	E	-
40125122	Dopakhola	1.71 (57.14%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	-
40125123	Garakhola	1.14 (38.10%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40125124	Ghona Madardangha	1.57 (52.38%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	D
40125125	Gojendropur	1.43 (47.62%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40125126	Gonali	2.29 (76.19%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	C
40125127	Hasanpur- Mikshimil Dakshin	1.71 (57.14%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	-
40125128	Jamira Dakshin	1.43 (47.62%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40125129	Jamira Uttar	1.14 (38.10%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.18 (39.22%)	E	-
40125130	Katenggha	1.14 (38.10%)	0.33 (11.11%)	1.00 (33.33%)	0.82 (27.45%)	E	D
40125131	Khornia	2.43 (80.95%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.00 (66.67%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	-
40125132	Khorsongha	2.00 (66.67%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
40125133	Kishnanagar	1.86 (61.90%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	D
40125134	Kumrail	1.29 (42.86%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	-
40125135	Mikshimil	2.14 (71.43%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.12 (70.59%)	B	D
40125136	Modhugram	1.71 (57.14%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
40125137	Moshiali	1.14 (38.10%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	D
40125138	Mujaghuta	1.57 (52.38%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
40125139	Pakuria- Tolana Dakshin	1.71 (57.14%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	D
40125140	Panchputa-Panchuria	2.29 (76.19%)	2.17 (72.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.24 (74.51%)	B	D
40125143	Pothiabanda	1.57 (52.38%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40125142	Piprail	1.43 (47.62%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	-
40125141	Pariadangha	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.12 (37.25%)	E	-
40125144	Raghunathpur	1.71 (57.14%)	0.50 (16.67%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40125145	Ramkrishnapur	1.29 (42.86%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.18 (39.22%)	E	-
40125146	Ranai	2.00 (66.67%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.50 (83.33%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	-
40125147	Rangpur Madhya	1.57 (52.38%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	D
40125148	Rangpur Paschim	2.00 (66.67%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.82 (60.78%)	C	D
40125149	Rangpur Purba	1.71 (57.14%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	D
40125150	Rudaghora	2.00 (66.67%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	B
40125151	Ruprampur	2.00 (66.67%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.82 (60.78%)	C	-
40125152	Saravita	1.57 (52.38%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	D
40125153	Sardardangha	1.43 (47.62%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	D
40125154	Shahpur Paschim	1.57 (52.38%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.18 (39.22%)	E	D

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40125155	Shahpur Purba	1.00 (33.33%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.25 (41.67%)	0.94 (31.37%)	E	-
40125156	Shalua	1.57 (52.38%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	
40125157	Shoulgatia	1.43 (47.62%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	
40125158	Teligati	1.71 (57.14%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	
40125159	Thukra	1.71 (57.14%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	C
40125160	Tipna	2.43 (80.95%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.25 (75.00%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	C
40125161	Tolna	1.86 (61.90%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	B

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 25 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	6	4	12	39	61
Polder team's assessment	-	4	7	27	23	61

4.18   Polder 27/1

In their own assessment, 13 out of 14 WMGs of the polder that participated in PM are ranked in grade 'E' and 1 in grade 'D'. In polder team's assessment, however, the performance of WMGs is somewhat better – the polder team ranks only 6 WMGs in grade 'E' and ranks 2 WMGs in grade 'C' and 6 WMGs in grade 'D'. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise

The PM results show that most WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges, the most common poor performance areas being: 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'participation in routine maintenance of infrastructures', 'participation in operation and maintenance', 'collection of O&M fund and its planned use', 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels', 'updating books of records of WMG' and 'carrying out water management activities jointly with other water management partners'. Besides, all WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40127_1101	Araji Dumuria Paschim	1.57 (52.38%)	0.33 (11.11%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	E	-
40127_1102	Araji Dumuria Purba	1.71 (57.14%)	0.33 (11.11%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.06 (35.29%)	E	-
40127_1103	Araji Sajiara	1.29 (42.86%)	0.33 (11.11%)	1.25 (41.67%)	0,94 (31.37%)	E	-
40127_1104	Barodangha-Jelerdangha	1.86 (61.90%)	0.50 (16.67%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	D
40127_1105	Bilpatiyala	1.57 (52.38%)	0.50 (16.67%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.18 (39.22%)	E	D
40127_1106	Gutudia Uttar	1.57 (52.38%)	0.50 (16.67%)	0.75 (25.00%)	1.00 (33.33%)	E	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40127_1107	Hajidangha	1.86 (61.90%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	D
40127_1108	Jilerdangha	1.29 (42.86%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	E	-
40127_1109	Khajura	2.00 (66.67%)	0.67 (22.22%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	C
40127_1111	Khoria	2.00 (66.67%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	D
40127_1112	Madhabkati	1.86 (61.90%)	0.17 (5.56%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.06 (35.29%)	E	D
40127_1113	Mirjapur	2.00 (66.67%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	C
40127_1114	Sajiara	2.00 (66.67%)	0.33 (11.11%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	D
40127_1115	Ukhra	2.00 (66.67%)	0.50 (16.67%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 27/1 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	-	-	1	13	14
Polder team's assessment	-	-	2	6	6	14

4.19 Polder 27/2

In their own assessment, out of 6 WMGs of the polder 1 has been ranked in grade 'C', 3 WMGs in grade 'D' and 2 in grade 'E'. An improvement in the performance of WMGs has thus been reported. In the previous round of PM all 6 WMGs were ranked in grade 'E'. Polder team's assessment of the performance of WMGs is more or less similar to that of the WMGs'. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise

The PM results show that most WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges. The most common poor performance areas are: 'adoption of modern technologies for poultry & livestock rearing', 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'participation in routine maintenance of infrastructures', 'participation in operation and maintenance', 'collection of O&M fund and its planned use', and 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels'. Besides, some WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40127_2101	Bahadurpur	2.00 (66.67%)	0.67 (22.22%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.59 (52.94%)	D	C
40127_2102	Ghona Bardangha	2.00 (66.67%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.94 (64.71%)	C	-
40127_2103	Golna	1.57 (52.38%)	0.67 (22.22%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40127_2104	Gutudia Dakshin	1.71 (57.14%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
40127_2105	Kamolpur	1.86 (61.90%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.50 (83.33%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	-
40127_2106	Machhaghona	1.43 (47.62%)	0.50 (16.67%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	E	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 27/2 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	-	1	3	2	**6**
Polder team's assessment	-	-	2	2	2	**6**

4.20   Polder 28/1

In their own assessment, all 10 WMGs of the polder are ranked in grade 'E'; thus it is reported that no improvement has taken place in the performance of WMGs. The polder team, however, thinks that some improvement has taken place - it ranks 2 of the WMGs in grade 'D'. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise.

The PM results show that almost all WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges. The most common poor performance areas are: 'adoption of modern technologies for fish cultivation', 'synchronization in cultivation of crops', 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'keeping infrastructures free from illegal occupation', 'participation in routine maintenance of infrastructures', 'participation in operation and maintenance', 'collection of O&M fund and its planned use', 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels' and 'carrying out water management activities jointly with other water management partners'. Besides, the WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40128_1101	Aliapur	1.86 (61.90%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	D
40128_1102	Badurgachha	1.86 (61.90%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40128_1103	Khamarbati	1.71 (57.14%)	0.67 (22.22%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	-
40128_1106	Line Bilpabla	1.71 (57.14%)	0.33 (11.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	-
40128_1107	Paschim Bilpabla	1.71 (57.14%)	0.83 (27.78%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
40128_1108	Punchu	1.29 (42.86%)	0.33 (11.11%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.00 (33.33%)	E	D
40128_1109	Purba Bilpabla	1.43 (47.62%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40128_1110	Rajbandh	1.29 (42.86%)	0.83 (27.78%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40128_1111	Rajbandh Dakshin	1.71 (57.14%)	0.83 (27.78%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
40128_1112	Uttar Bilpabla	1.57 (52.38%)	0.67 (22.22%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 27/1 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	-	-	-	10	10
Polder team's assessment	-	-	-	2	8	10

4.21   Polder 28/2

In their own assessment, out of 12 WMGs of the polder 1 WMG has been ranked in grade 'B', 1 WMG in grade 'C', 2 WMGs in grade 'D' and 8 in grade 'E'. An improvement in the performance of WMGs has thus been reported. In the previous round of PM 10 WMGs were ranked in grade 'E'. In polder team's assessment , the performance of WMGs is reported to be even better: 2 WMGs have been ranked in grade 'B', 1 WMG in grade 'D', 3 WMGs in grade 'D' and 6 in grade 'E'. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise.

The PM results show that almost all WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges. The most common poor performance areas are: 'adoption of modern technologies for fish cultivation', 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'participation in routine maintenance of infrastructures', 'participation in operation and maintenance', 'collection of O&M fund and its planned use', 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels' and 'carrying out water management activities jointly with other water management partners'. Besides, the WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40128_2101	Banshbaria	2.00 (66.67%)	0.50 (16.67%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	-
40128_2102	Chakrakhali-Gozalmari	1.71 (57.14%)	0.50 (16.67%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	-
40128_2103	Chhayghoria	2.00 (66.67%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.25 (75.00%)	1.71 (56.86%)	D	C
40128_2104	Ghola	2.14 (71.43%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.06 (68.63%)	C	B

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40128_2105	Guptomari-Daoniafand	1.86 (61.90%)	0.83 (27.78%)	0.75 (25.00%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	-
40128_2106	JBSR	1.71 (57.14%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	-
40128_2107	Jharbangha	2.00 (66.67%)	0.67 (22.22%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	D
40128_2108	Joykhali	1.43 (47.62%)	0.83 (27.78%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	-
40128_2109	Nijkhamer	1.29 (42.86%)	0.33 (11.11%)	0.75 (25.00%)	0.82 (27.45%)	E	-
40128_2110	Rangamari	2.00 (66.67%)	0.33 (11.11%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	D
40128_2111	Sachibunia	2.14 (71.43%)	0.50 (16.67%)	1.25 (41.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40128_2112	Shoilmari	2.29 (76.19%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.75 (91.67%)	2.18 (72.55%)	B	-

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 27/2 as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	1	1	2	8	12
Polder team's assessment	-	2	1	3	6	12

MM
MOTT
MACDONALD

4.22 Polder 34/2 Part

In their own assessment, out of 19 WMGs of the polder 1 WMG has been ranked in grade 'C', 4 WMGs in grade 'D' and 14 WMGs in grade 'E'. An improvement in the performance of WMGs has thus been reported. In the last round of PM 18 WMGs were ranked in grade 'E'.Polder team's assessment fully coincides with the self-assessment of the WMGs. It may be noted that it is one of the last batch polders and this is the second time that the WMGs have participated in PM exercise.

The PM results show that almost all WMGs of the polder feel that they are weak with respect to a number of outcome challenges. The most common poor performance areas are: 'adoption of modern agricultural technologies in cultivation of crops',, 'adoption of modern technologies for fish cultivation', 'synchronization in cultivation of crops', 'collective actions for agricultural activities', 'participation in routine maintenance of infrastructures', 'participation in operation and maintenance', 'collection of O&M fund and its planned use' and 'taking care of water requirements of different land levels'. Besides, the WMGs also report that there has been not enough maintenance of water management infrastructures by BWDB.

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40234_2P101	Bhandarcote	1.43 (47.62%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40234_2P102	Birat	1.43 (47.62%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.41 (47.06%)	E	-
40234_2P103	BKS	1.71 (57.14%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
40234_2P104	Bujbunia	1.57 (52.38%)	1.50 (50.00%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
40234_2P105	Dhadua	1.71 (57.14%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.76 (58.82%)	D	-
40234_2P106	Fulbari	1.86 (61.90%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.88 (62.75%)	C	-

WMG ID	Name of WMG	Theme-wise Progress of WMGs on a Scale of 0 to 3 Progress Levels (and achievement in %)			Average score (out of max. score 3) and overall achievement in %	Level of WMG performance (indicated by letter grades)	
		Agriculture and economic development	Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)	Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership		Self-assessment by WMGs	Polder Team's Assessment (indicated where it differs)
40234_2P107	Jhalbari	1.71 (57.14%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.53 (50.98%)	D	-
40234_2P108	Kajibachha	1.43 (47.62%)	1.67 (55.56%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.65 (54.90%)	D	-
40234_2P109	Kharabad	1.14 (38.10%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.29 (43.14%)	E	-
40234_2P110	Khatakhali Khal	1.29 (42.86%)	1.33 (44.44%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40234_2P112	Laxmikhola	1.14 (38.10%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.24 (41.18%)	E	-
40234_2P113	Nangladahkhal	1.00 (33.33%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.75 (58.33%)	1.18 (39.22%)	E	-
40234_2P114	Noailtola –Baro Hajirabad	1.14 (38.10%)	1.00 (33.33%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.18 (39.22%)	E	-
40234_2P115	Paschim Halia	1.29 (42.86%)	1.33 (44.44%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
40234_2P116	Purba Halia	0.86 (28.57%)	1.83 (61.11%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	-
40234_2P117	Ranjiterhula	1.43 (47.62%)	1.17 (38.89%)	1.50 (50.00%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40234_2P118	RKNK	1.29 (42.86%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.35 (45.10%)	E	-
40234_2P119	Sheyalidangha Chandamari	0.71 (23.81%)	1.17 (38.89%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.18 (39.22%)	E	-
40234_2P120	THN	1.57 (52.38%)	1.00 (33.33%)	2.00 (66.67%)	1.47 (49.02%)	E	

The progress achieved by WMGs of Polder 34/2 Part as per their self-assessment and polder team's assessment may be summarized as under:

Kind of assessment	No. of WMGs under different grades					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total
Self-assessment by WMGs	-	-	1	4	14	**19**
Polder team's assessment	-	-	1	4	14	**19**

5. Trend of Progress of WMGs

Trend of progress of WMGs has been shown in the following table. Average achievements of WMGs of different polders with respect to 3 monitoring themes –of three monitoring periods for 1st and 2nd phase polders and of two monitoring periods for the 3rd phase polders– have been considered. It may be noted that the results of self-assessment of WMGs have been used to draw the trend of their progress in performance.

Table-5.1: Trend of Average Achievements by WMGs

| Polder | Theme-wise Average Achievements of WMGs of Different Polders | | | | | | | | | Overall Average Achievement (%) | | |
| | Agriculture and Economic Development (%) | | | Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructure) (%) | | | Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership (%) | | | | | |
	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018
22	80.6	92.1	85.3	76.9	84.7	81.9	81.3	88.2	81.3	79.4	88.6	83.2
30	85.0	82.7	83.8	80.7	80.6	80.3	88.6	86.3	87.5	84.4	82.8	83.4
43/2D	79.3	86.6	90.6	78.2	84.3	87.7	86.9	89.6	90.5	80.7	86.5	89.6
43/2F	78.3	82.2	85.9	74.1	80.5	84.4	85.8	86.7	88.6	78.6	82.6	86.0
43/2A	62.6	70.6	74.0	55.6	66.4	70.7	68.9	74.2	78.8	61.6	70.0	74.0
29	67.6	83.2	83.0	68.0	85.8	83.7	75.8	79.6	83.9	69.7	83.3	83.5
43/1A	75.2	83.7	86.7	52.4	77.0	77.0	72.0	81.5	82.7	66.4	80.8	82.4
43/2B	65.1	83.7	84.9	64.1	81.0	81.2	76.2	87.8	87.2	67.4	83.7	84.1
43/2E	69.0	82.9	84.9	51.4	74.5	76.9	79.9	89.6	88.9	65.4	81.5	83.0
26	76.5	86.0	85.7	59.6	70.4	71.1	76.7	81.1	78.3	70.6	79.3	78.8
31 part	65.1	71.0	70.6	60.2	67.1	64.8	72.9	75.0	75.0	65.2	70.6	69.6
2 & 2 Ext.	63.8	73.8	80.4	46.1	62.2	68.2	54.6	72.5	79.8	55.4	69.4	75.9
55/2A	52.7	68.4	93.9	42.7	57.1	78.2	53.8	65.5	85.7	49.5	63.7	86.4
55/2C	71.7	89.0	90.5	54.2	81.3	80.9	71.9	89.6	90.6	65.6	86.4	87.1
47/3	-	42.9	53.7	-	33.3	46.8	-	51.2	79.8	-	41.5	57.4
47/4	-	48.1	55.3	-	40.7	42.3	-	43.1	62.0	-	44.3	52.3
25	-	30.2	52.2	-	20.8	39.1	-	30.3	53.8	-	26.9	48.0
27/1	-	24.1	58.5	-	14.4	16.7	-	31.7	42.3	-	22.5	39.9
27/2	-	49.2	58.7	-	15.7	30.6	-	48.6	70.8	-	37.3	51.6

| Polder | Theme-wise Average Achievements of WMGs of Different Polders | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Agriculture and Economic Development (%) | | | Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructure) (%) | | | Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership (%) | | | Overall Average Achievement (%) | | |
	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018	Oct-Nov 2017	Apr-May 2018	Oct. 2018
28/1	-	47.6	53.8	-	22.8	23.9	-	53.3	60.0	-	40.2	44.7
28/2	-	44.4	62.7	-	24.1	27.3	-	47.2	57.6	-	37.9	49.0
34/2 part	-	27.5	45.1	-	17.6	42.1	-	28.7	61.0	-	24.3	47.8

The general trend is that the WMGs are gradually progressing – they are advancing in their pursuit for achieving the potential targets under the 3 monitoring themes. An exceptionally high progress is, however, reported of WMGs of polder 55/2A; as explained by the polder team (see section 4.13), while the WMGs show an improvement in their performance now, there had been 'gap in reporting' the progress in earlier rounds of PM. On the other hand, in the present round of PM a slight setback in the progress/performance of WMGs has been reported in polders 22, 26 and 31 part. It was observed by some polder teams that, in the polders/catchments where water management infrastructures have been rehabilitated, agriculture has been revitalized as it were and, as a result of that, the WMGs have become motivated to work for improvement of their livelihood situation.

The trend of progress in performance is also reflected in the (higher) numbers of WMGs in top performance grades in October 2018 compared to those in the earlier rounds of PM. As per the PM results of October 2018, there are 327 WMGs in the top 2 grades as against 289 WMGs in April-May 2018. Most remarkable achievements are reported of the WMGs of polders 55/2A and 2 & 2 Ext. – the WMGs have shown enthusiasm and motivation to improve their situation and achieved good progress with respect to their targets; the motivating factor behind their enthusiasm – as reported by the polder team – was (the start of) rehabilitation of infrastructures in these polders.

The following table shows the distribution of WMGs of 22 polders into different performance grades as per the PM results of three monitoring periods.

Table-5.2: Distribution of WMGs in performance categoriesin October-November 2017, April-May 2018 and October 2018.

| Polder | October 2018 | | | | | | April-May 2018 | | | | | | October-November 2017 | | | | | |
	A	B	C	D	E	Total	A	B	C	D	E	Total	A	B	C	D	E	Total
22	8	4	-	-	-	12	10	2	-	-	-	12	7	3	1	1	-	12
30	26	7	6	1	-	40	28	10	2	-	-	40	30	10	-	-	-	40
43/2D	22	6	-	-	-	28	20	7	1	-	-	28	14	10	4	-	-	28
43/2F	18	8	1	-	-	27	16	10	-	1	-	27	11	14	1	-	1	27
43/2A	7	7	6	2	-	22	6	5	6	5	-	22	1	2	11	6	2	22

Polder	October 2018						April-May 2018						October-November 2017					
	A	B	C	D	E	Total	A	B	C	D	E	Total	A	B	C	D	E	Total
29	35	18	3	-	-	56	32	13	11	-	-	56	5	22	23	5	-	55
43/1A	8	6	-	-	-	14	8	4	2	-	-	14	2	3	4	5	-	14
43/2B	19	8	-	1	-	28	20	6	1	1	-	28	7	4	10	3	4	28
43/2E	9	3	-	-	-	12	8	4	-	-	-	12	-	4	4	4	-	12
26	5	8	2	-	-	15	6	6	3	-	-	15	1	6	8	-	-	15
31 part	-	8	4	-	-	12	-	10	2	-	-	12	-	2	8	2	-	12
2 & 2 Ext.	21	30	9	3	-	63	8	33	11	5	6	63	1	3	16	20	18	58
55/2A	12	1	1	-	-	14	-	1	11	2	-	14	-	-	3	1	9	13
55/2C	12	4	-	-	-	16	13	3	-	-	-	16	-	4	7	5	-	16
47/3	-	-	2	5	-	7	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	
47/4	-	-	2	7	9	18	-	-	-	3	15	18	-	-	-	-	-	
25	-	6	4	12	39	61	-	-	-	-	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	
27/1	-	-	-	1	13	14	-	-	-	-	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	
27/2	-	-	1	3	2	6	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	
28/1	-	-	-	-	10	10	-	-	-	-	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	
28/2	-	1	1	2	8	12	-	-	2	-	10	12	-	-	-	-	-	
34/2part	-	-	1	4	14	19	-	-	-	-	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	202	125	43	41	95	506	175	114	52	17	142	500	79	87	100	52	34	352

.

The figures in the above table clearly indicate that the WMGs have achieved a substantial progress vis-à-vis their potential targets.

6. Final Remarks

- The polder teams observed that in general the WMGs have done well in the self-assessment of their performance. There is, in fact, small difference in the assessment results of WMGs and polder teams with respect to the best performing WMGs - performance levels of about 65% of the total number of WMGs are of 2 top grades ('A' & 'B') as per WMGs' self-assessment, and about 66% as per assessment of polder teams.

- A positive trend of progression can be noticed in the achievement of 'potential targets' by WMGs, and it is not without reason that the progress of WMGs is remarkably high where water management infrastructures are in good condition. Besides, the performance of WMGs also depends on the quality of leadership to a large extent - where there is good leadership in the WMG, good performance is likely to ensue as a natural consequence.

- It is important that participatory monitoring results are discussed and reflected upon by WMGs in their meetings, and that they make appropriate action plans for improvement; the polder teams should encourage and stimulate the WMGs to do this.

- The polder teams should also stimulate the WMG Executive Committees to share with the general members what their 'potential targets' are [as reflected in monitoring format] as well as the monitoring results at annual/quarterly general meetings of WMGs.

- Many WMGs are still weak as regards various outcome challenges, and the outcome challenges relating to water management (i.e., operation and maintenance of infrastructure) are among the top poor performance areas of many WMGs. However, there has been a remarkable progress in this regard – the numbers of WMGs scoring low against different outcome challenges in the present round of PM have reduced considerably compared to those of the earlier round of PM.

MM Mott MacDonald

Annex-1: Outcome Challenges and Progress Markers

Blue Gold Program
Bangladesh Water Development Board
Participatory Monitoring

Name of WMG: ……………….……………………. Polder No. ……………… No. of HHs in WMG Area: …………………
No. of Participants:- Female: ……………. Male: ……………... (Total: …………….) Date of Monitoring: ………………..………

Outcome Challenges		Progress Markers				Score	Remarks
		0	**1**	**2**	**3**		
1. Agriculture and economic development							
1.1	Male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern agricultural technologies for crop cultivation, including cultivation of high yielding varieties and high value crops, use of modern crop cultivation methods.	Male and female farmers of the area are not showing interest to adopt modern agricultural technologies for crop cultivation.	About 20% male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern agricultural technologies for crop cultivation.	About 40% male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern agricultural technologies for crop cultivation.	About 60% or more male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern agricultural technologies for crop cultivation.		
1.2	Male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies for fish cultivation (like preparation of pond, selection of right varieties of fish, fish feed, use of fertilizer and lime, etc) ,.	Male and female farmers of the area are not showing interest for adoption of modern technologies for fish cultivation.	About 20% male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies for fish cultivation..	About 40% male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies for fish cultivation..	About60% or more male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies for fish cultivation..		

	Outcome Challenges	Progress Markers				Score	Remarks
		0	1	2	3		
1.3	Male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies/methods for poultry & livestock rearing. (Technologies of livestock rearing are like giving urea, molasses, straw as feed, deworming, etc; and for poultry rearing – use of *hajol*, well-ventilated house, separating chicks from mother bird, examining eggs, etc.)	Male and female farmers of the area are not showing interest for adoption of modern technologies/ methods for poultry & livestock rearing	About 20% male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies/ methods for poultry & livestock rearing.	About 40% male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies/ methods for poultry & livestock rearing.	About60% or more male and female farmers of the area have adopted modern technologies/ methods for poultry & livestock rearing.		
1.4	Synchronization in cultivation of crops and crop varieties is practiced in the area.	There is no synchronization in cultivation of crops and crop varieties in the area.	In about 20% area there is synchronization in cultivation of crops and crop varieties.	In about 40% area there is synchronization in cultivation of crops and crop varieties.	In about 60% area there is synchronization in cultivation of crops and crop varieties.		
1.5	In Rabi & Kharif-1 seasons (dry months) the potential cultivable lands of the area (i.e. the lands where fresh water is available for crops) are brought under cultivation.	In Rabi & Kharif-1 seasons all potential cultivablelandsremainfallow.	About 20% of the potential cultivable lands in dry months are brought under cultivation.	About 40% of the potential cultivable lands in dry months are brought under cultivation.	About 60% of the potential cultivable lands in dry months are brought under cultivation.		
1.6	In Kharif-2/Aman season the potential cultivable lands of the area are brought under（crop or fish) cultivation.	In Kharif-2/Aman season all potential cultivable lands remain fallow.	About 20% of the potential cultivable lands in Kharif-2/ Aman season are brought under（crop or fish) cultivation.	About 40% of the potential cultivable lands in Kharif-2/ Aman season are brought under（crop or fish) cultivation.	About 60% of the potential cultivable lands in Kharif-2/ Aman season are brought under（crop or fish) cultivation.		
1.7	WMG Members/Farmers undertake collective actions for agricultural activities (like	Farmers do not undertake collective actions	Only about 10% undertake collective actions	About 20% farmers undertake collective actions for	About 30% or more farmers undertake collective actions for		

Outcome Challenges		Progress Markers				Score	Remarks
		0	1	2	3		
	collectively organizing for land preparation, buying inputs, irrigation, fish cultivation, etc).	for agricultural activities.	for agricultural activities.	agricultural activities.	agricultural activities.		
2.	**Water Management (Operation and Maintenance of Infrastructures)**						
2.1	BWDB has carried out appropriate maintenance work (i.e. periodic & emergency maintenance) of water management infrastructures (sluice/outlet/inlet/khal) whereby facilities have been created for improved water management.	Water management infrastructures have not been maintained by BWDB for long time.	Water management infrastructures of the areahave been partiallymaintainedb y BWDB but that is much less than the requirement.	Some of the water management infrastructures of the area have beenmaintained well by BWDBand thus water management facilities have been created for some parts of the area.	All the water management infrastructures of the areahavebeenmaintai ned well by BWDB whereby facilities have created for improved water management for most part of the area.		
2.2	No infrastructure of the area (sluice, embankment, khal, inlet, outlet) is under illegal occupation	The infrastructures of the area (active khal& other infrastructures) are under illegal occupation.	Some of the infrastructures are free from illegal occupation but they are much less than the requirement.	The main infrastructures (sluice, main khal and embankment) of the area are free from illegal occupation; only minor infrastructures are still under illegal occupation.	No infrastructure of the area is under illegal occupation.		
2.3	WMG carries out ` 'Routine Maintenance' of all infrastructures of the area (like greasing of sluice/outlet/inlet, changing nuts & bolts and cleaning of khal) whereby facilities are created for improved water management.	WMG does not carry out 'Routine Maintenance'.	WMG carries out ` 'Routine Maintenance' of some infrastructures of the area but that is much less than the requirement.	WMG carries out ` 'Routine Maintenance' of most infrastructures of the area.	WMG carries out ` 'Routine Maintenance' of all infrastructures of the area whereby facilities are created for improved water management.		

	Outcome Challenges	Progress Markers				Score	Remarks
		0	1	2	3		
2.4	Local people are participating in the operation of water management infrastructures under the leadership of WMG by contributing necessary resources (cash, materials or labour).	Local people do not participate in the operation of water management infrastructures.	Under the leadership of WMG the local people participate in the operation of some water management infrastructures.	Under the leadership of WMG, the local people participate in the operation of most water management infrastructures.	Under the leadership of WMG, the local people are participating in the operation of all water management infrastructures..		
2.5	The WMG has created O&M fund and it uses the fund as per its plan for O&M.	The WMG does not collect O&M fund.	Only a few persons have participated in fund creation for O&M.	Most people of the area participated in fund creation for O&M and they use the fund as required.	The WMG is enriching the O&M fund on a regular basis and it uses the fund as per its plan for O&M.		
2.6	People of the WMG area, under the leadership of WMG and with cooperation of BWDB and UP, take care of water requirements of highland and lowland of the area.	People of the WMG area do not take care of water requirements of highland and lowland.	People of the WMG area, under the leadership of WMG and withcooperation of BWDB and UP, address water requirements of 20% highland and lowland of the area.	People of the WMG area, under the leadership of WMG and withcooperation of BWDB and UP, address water requirements of 40% highland and lowland of the area.	People of the WMG area, under the leadership of WMG and withcooperation of BWDB and UP, address water requirements of 60% or more highland and lowland of the area.		
3. Water Management Group and Water Management Partnership							
3.1	WMG has actively formulated the WMG action plan (WAP) and implements it.	WMG has not formulatedWAP.	WMG has formulated WAP but is weak inits implementation.	WMG has formulated WAP; but its endeavor or capacity to implement the WAP is still limited.	WMG has formulated a comprehensive WAP and it implements the WAP.		
3.2	The WMG regularly updates its accounts and other books of records and presents them in meetings.	The WMG does not update its accounts and other books of records.	The WMG updates its accounts and other books of records but not on regular basis.	The WMG regularly updates its accounts and other books of records but it does not	The WMG regularly updates its accounts and other books of records and presents		

Outcome Challenges		Progress Markers				Score	Remarks
		0	1	2	3		
				present the accounts in meetings.	them in meetings.		
3.3	The WMG engages in water management related activities (like planning and implementation of works related to operation & maintenance of water management infrastructures, emergency repair of infrastructures, resolution of conflicts related to water management, etc) jointly with government & non-government organizations and LGIs.	The WMG does not do water management related activities, jointly with government & non-government organizations and LGIs.	WMG has initiated discussion on joint water management related activities with government & non-government organizations and LGIs.	The WMG sometimes implements water management related activities jointly with government & non-government organizations and LGIs.	The WMG engages in water management related activitiesjointly with government & non-government organizations and LGIs.		
3.4	There is no conflict relating to use of water and water management in the WMG area.	There is conflict in the WMG area over the use of water and water management.	There is/are minor conflict(s) relating to use of water and water management in the WMG area but no initiative has been taken yet to resolve it/them.	There is/are minor conflict(s) relating to use of water and water management in the WMG area but initiative has been taken to resolve it/them.	There is no conflict relating to use of water and water management in the WMG area, or conflicts have all been resolved.		