



Bangladesh Water Development Board (BWDB)



Kingdom of the Netherlands



Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE)



Working Paper 11B

Self-monitoring of WMOs:

a monitoring system for the future

January 2021



Working Paper 11B

Self-monitoring of WMOs: a monitoring system for the future

January, 2021

The Blue Gold Program

BWDB Office

23/1 Motijheel Commercial Area,
Hasan Court, 7th Floor, Dhaka 1000
(T) +88 02 711 15 25; +88 02 956 98 43

DAE Office

Room 502, Middle Building, Khamarbari, Farmgate,
Dhaka-1215
(M) +88 01534 006 158

Technical Assistance Office, Banani

House 74 (3rd Floor), Road 7, Block H,
Banani, Dhaka 1213
(T) +880 (2) 951 2823
(W) www.bluegoldbd.org
(F) www.facebook.com/bluegoldprogram

Issue and revision record

| Revision | Date | Originator | Checker | Approver | Description |
|----------|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| V1 | 11 March.2021 | WMOs | John Marandy | Guy Jones | First Issue |
| V2 | 24 March 2021 | WMOs | John Marandy | Guy Jones | Final |

Mott MacDonald
Amsterdamseweg 15
6814 CM Arnhem
PO Box 441
6800 AK Arnhem
The Netherlands

T +31 (0)26 3577 111
mottmac.com/international-development

Euroconsult Mott MacDonald bv is a member of the Mott MacDonald Group. Registered in The Netherlands no. 09038921

Information class: **Standard**

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

Content

| Chapter | Title | Page |
|----------------|--|-------------|
| | List of Abbreviations | vii |
| | Executive Summary | viii |
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background to Arrangement for Post-project WMO Self-monitoring _____ | 1 |
| 1.2 | Scope of WMO Self-monitoring _____ | 1 |
| 2. | Methodology | 3 |
| 2.1 | Self-monitoring Tool _____ | 3 |
| 2.2 | Monitoring exercise _____ | 3 |
| 2.3 | Reflection on monitoring results _____ | 4 |
| 3. | Project Overview | 5 |
| 3.1 | Present Status of WMOs _____ | 5 |
| 3.2 | Poor Performance Areas of WMOs _____ | 7 |
| 4. | Polder Overview | 8 |
| 4.1 | Polder 22 _____ | 8 |
| 4.2 | Polder 30 _____ | 9 |
| 4.3 | Polder 43/2D _____ | 10 |
| 4.4 | Polder 43/2F _____ | 12 |
| 4.5 | Polder 43/2A _____ | 13 |
| 4.6 | Polder 29 _____ | 14 |
| 4.7 | Polder 43/1A _____ | 15 |
| 4.8 | Polder 43/2B _____ | 16 |
| 4.9 | Polder 43/2E _____ | 18 |
| 4.10 | Polder 26 _____ | 18 |
| 4.11 | Polder 31 Part _____ | 19 |
| 4.12 | Polder 2 & 2 Extension _____ | 20 |
| 4.13 | Polder 55/2A _____ | 22 |
| 4.14 | Polder 55/2C _____ | 23 |
| 4.15 | Polder 47/3 _____ | 24 |
| 4.16 | Polder 47/4 _____ | 25 |
| 4.17 | Polder 25 _____ | 26 |
| 4.18 | Polder 27/1 & 27/2 _____ | 28 |
| 4.19 | Polder 28/1 & 28/2 _____ | 29 |
| 4.20 | Polder 34/2 Part _____ | 30 |
| 5. | Comparison of Self-assessment Results | 32 |

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| 6. | Final Remarks | 34 |
| | Annex-1: Parameters of WMO Self-monitoring | 35 |

List of Abbreviations

| | |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| BGP | Blue Gold Program |
| BWDB | Bangladesh Water Development Board |
| CoCo | (Polder) Co-Coordinator |
| DAE | Department of Agricultural Extension |
| EC | Executive Committee |
| IPWM | In-polder Water Management |
| MRL | Monitoring, Reflection and Learning |
| O&M | Operation and Maintenance |
| PM | Participatory Monitoring |
| ToT | Training of Trainers |
| UP | Union Parishad |
| WM | Water Management |
| WMA | Water Management Association |
| WMG | Water Management Group |
| WMO | Water Management Organization |

Executive Summary

1. This report covers the second round of WMO self-monitoring using a web-based App and presenting monitoring results for the 4th quarter of the year 2020.
2. The use of App for monitoring has been introduced so that the WMOs do not have to depend on any external support organization/agency for necessary data collection and data processing and that the WMAs, the organizations responsible for management, operation and maintenance of infrastructures within polders, have sufficient information for taking management decisions relating to in-polder water management.
3. Through this exercise the WMAs and WMGs examine their performance levels with respect to their key responsibilities as water management organizations. The WMOs look into three key areas of their organizations – legality, legitimacy and functioning. However, as the data collected by WMOs are processed (automatically) in the dashboard, the functionality aspect is given more weightage over the two other aspects. The monitoring exercise is also aimed at making the WMOs aware of their roles and responsibilities as water management organizations.
4. The monitoring results exhibit the performance status of WMAs and their constituent WMGs; the performance levels are rated as good, medium and poor. Based on their responses against the monitoring parameters their performance levels are determined with respect to the three key areas mentioned above and the overall performance of their organizations. In determining the performance level of a WMA, however, the performance status of its constituent WMGs also come into consideration and the performance in relation to status of catchment level O&M planning and status of implementation of O&M plan, the factors which do not depend solely on its own efforts, also comes into consideration.
5. A total of 35 WMAs and 509 WMGs of 22 polders participated in the web-based self-monitoring exercise in January 2021 for quarter 4 of the year 2020.
6. The WMO self-monitoring results show that the overall performance level of 21 (60%) WMAs is rated as good and that of 14 (40%) WMAs, as medium. On the other hand, the overall performance level of 455 (89%) WMGs is reported to be good and that of 53 WMGs is medium; performance level of 1 WMG is reported to be poor. As per the WMO self-assessment results, the overall performance level of all WMAs in 10 BGP polders is reported to be good, while in 21 BGP polders the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.
7. The numbers of WMAs and WMGs whose performance levels are rated 'good' is remarkably higher in this quarter of monitoring compared to those in the previous quarter. Such improvement in the performance levels in 3 months' time is unlikely. It appears that it is mainly for two reasons that the monitoring results show such striking differences in the rating of performance levels of WMOs in 2 quarters: (a) the WMOs may have been more careful in the self-assessment this quarter so that the errors made in the last quarter may have been corrected; and (b) there might have been some errors in the calculations of overall ratings in the previous round of monitoring, because of which results of some WMOs might have been different from what they should have been; the calculations for overall ratings of WMOs have been reviewed and in some cases corrected for the results of this round of monitoring.
8. In zonal/polder teams' view the WMO self-assessment has been done reasonably well. In their assessment the overall performance levels of the WMGs as reported in the dashboard are okay. However, they have different view regarding ratings of 3 WMAs; according to them, the performance levels of those WMAs are good and not medium as reported in the dashboard.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background to Arrangement for Post-project WMO Self-monitoring

As the Blue Gold Program (BGP) is approaching its end, it was felt by BGP that for WMAs to be able to discharge their role with respect to water management in the polders in the post-project period, information regarding WMOs in the polder areas –their progress and performance– should be available to them. The WMAs, as the leaders and key players for water management in the polders, will be required to take decisions on management, operation and maintenance of infrastructures within polders; and in order to take appropriate and effective decisions they should have relevant information. If monitoring is a tool to review status of progress and performance of a work or institution, basing on which one can make realistic plan for development/improvement, the WMOs should continue to monitor their own progress and performance even when the project is no longer there. In view of this BGP took an initiative to establish an arrangement for WMAs to get the required information during the period beyond BGP. The best option in hand for getting such information is that WMAs and WMGs themselves collect data about their activities, status and progress, and that on a web-platform.

BGP engaged an IT solution provider to develop a web-based dashboard, built upon an android mobile application and a responsive web-panel. The dashboard has been so designed that the WMOs can collect data through and view results on their mobile phones. The mobile application is used for form-based data collection quarterly. Caution has been taken to keep the data collection minimal, yet enough to generate information required for management decisions by WMAs. A WMG is able to view its status report (WMG assessment report) visualized by colour codes –‘green’ for good performance, ‘amber’ for moderate performance and ‘red’ for poor performance– based on the data submitted by the WMG itself. Similarly, a WMA is able to view its status report (WMA assessment report) visualized by colour codes –‘green’ for good performance, ‘amber’ for moderate performance and ‘red’ for poor performance– based on the data submitted by the WMA itself; however, the performance of its constituent WMGs also come into consideration in determining the performance level of a WMA. The WMA can also view the status reports of its constituent WMGs and the status reports of other WMAs of BGP polders.

Prior to the start of WMO self-monitoring the WMAs and WMGs have been doing participatory monitoring (PM), which was in effect self-assessment of their progress and performance against some potential targets for their development; they have been evaluating their progress (or shortcomings) towards a full achievement of those targets. As they did the PM exercise, the WMOs had BGP staff to guide and advise them, where necessary. The PM was thus a kind of training for WMOs for self-assessment of their performance. It is believed that the WMOs will be able to do (and continue doing) the self-monitoring utilizing mobile App developed for the purpose. The continued but down-scaled presence of the TA team in the BGP polders during 2020-2021 offers scope to provide hands-on assistance to do this quarterly monitoring that is led and owned by the WMAs.

1.2 Scope of WMO Self-monitoring

As this monitoring is designed for the WMOs to do independently in the post-BGP period, the data collection under this monitoring system has been kept minimal. However, care was taken in selecting the elements/ingredients of information that the data is enough to signal the performance levels of WMA’s own and of its constituent WMGs so that the data thus gathered help WMA in taking management decisions. It thus generates ‘WMO health dashboard’ – a dashboard which tells the WMA at a glance what the health status of its organization –including its constituent WMGs– is. The dashboard presents the status of WMOs in three key areas:

- Legality – Does the WMA –including its constituent WMGs– still comply to the formal requirements of its registration? The minimum information comprises: timely election, readiness of accounts for audit, AGM held.
- Legitimacy – Is the WMA a force that is reckoned with? Representing 70% of active WMGs and well-connected to LGI, BWDB and others.
- Functionality – Does the WMA do what it is set-up for: up-to-date catchment management plans and water management activities undertaken.

The dashboard will support WMA with the information required for its management decisions and will not have to rely on intervention by an external collaborator.

2. Methodology

2.1 Self-monitoring Tool

Two sets of monitoring parameters –one for WMAs and another for WMGs– are used as the WMO self-monitoring tool (see Annex 1). In developing the monitoring parameters care was taken so that they are easily understood by WMOs –the language of the formats used by WMOs is Bangla¹– and that they are not too many. The parameters were so formulated/selected that, as they do the self-monitoring, the WMOs are reminded of their major responsibilities as (water management) organizations. The monitoring parameters of both WMAs and WMGs touch upon three key areas of WMOs – legality, legitimacy and functionality.

Examining legality of a WMA means to look into the degree / extent of its compliance to the conditions of registration and to the terms of O&M agreement, while for WMG it means to look into the degree / extent of its compliance to the conditions of registration. Examining legitimacy of a WMA means to look into the elements whether it is representing a force to be reckoned with and having constructive relations with BWDB, LGIs and other agencies, while in case of a WMG it means to look into the elements whether it is representing a force to be reckoned with and having constructive relation with the UP. And, examining functionality of a WMA means to survey whether it is actively involved in planning and implementing in-polder water management, while in case of a WMG it means to assess whether it is actively involved in in-polder water management.

Accomplishment of a WMO against the parameters, as assessed by the WMO, is rated good, reasonable/medium or poor, which in the App/dashboard is expressed by color codes – green for good, amber for reasonable and red for poor. Then the ratings of different parameters under a theme (key area) generate a status report as good, reasonable or poor, expressed by color codes. In similar fashion an overall status of a WMO is generated taking into account the ratings of 3 key areas. It may be noted that the functionality aspect of a WMO is given greater weightage over the other 2 aspects. Besides, in determining the overall status of WMA, in addition to its own performance reports on the 3 key areas, the performances of its constituent WMGs are also accounted for. Furthermore, the status of catchment level O&M planning and status of implementation of O&M plan, which do not depend solely on its own efforts, also come into consideration in determining the performance level of a WMA.

2.2 Monitoring exercise

The WMO self-monitoring was conducted for the second time. The WMAs and WMGs seem to have done it with more confidence than in the previous round. Though some WMOs had no problem in conducting the first round monitoring exercise, some others were little-bit shaky, which had influenced their results. This time no such dilemma worked among the WMOs in carrying out the self-monitoring exercise.

Out of the total 36 WMAs in BGP polders 35 WMAs and out of 511 WMGs in BGP polders 509 WMGs participated in the self-monitoring exercise. The WMOs in general did well in assessing their performance and in using the App for data collection and sending the data into the server; there had been only a few cases where WMOs sent their data into the server more than once only and only a few of them missed to send their monitoring data into the server.

¹ In the App language choosing option is there; one can choose between Bangla and English.

2.3 Reflection on monitoring results

The polder teams have been advised to encourage the WMAs to review/reflect on the monitoring results and plan how to do the follow-up of the monitoring results, especially what to do if the WMA or its constituent WMGs have poor performance in any area.

It was reported by the zonal/polder teams that they have reviewed the monitoring results with some WMAs. The zonal/polder teams also reviewed the monitoring results separately and made their assessment of the overall performances of WMOs. In reviewing the monitoring results the zonal/polder teams very specifically asked themselves the question – whether the ratings of overall performances of WMAs and WMGs that are reported in the dashboard are how they find the WMOs to be in reality. Monitoring results are also being discussed in the workshops organized for reviewing WMA capacity as a self-sustaining organization.

3. Project Overview

3.1 Present Status of WMOs

The monitoring results are determined on the basis of information the WMOs themselves provide about their own activities and performance. Using the responses of the WMOs to the queries on different parameters with regard to activities and performance, the App/dashboard makes calculations to derive the status of WMO performance. The WMOs are categorized as per performance levels – well performing (good), moderately performing (medium) and poorly performing (poor), and the performance levels are visualized by colour codes – ‘green’ for good performance, ‘amber’ for medium performance and ‘red’ for poor performance.

It is natural to expect that WMOs of older polders will have better performance levels than those of relatively newer polders though in reality it may not always be the case. Table-3.1 shows how old different polders are in consideration of the starting dates of BGP interventions².

Table-3.1: Starting dates of BGP interventions

| <i>Starting Date of BGP Intervention</i> | <i>Polders</i> |
|--|---|
| June 2013 | Polders 22, 30, 43/2D and 43/2F |
| August 2013 | Polders 43/2A, 29, 43/1A, 43/2B, 43/2E and 26 |
| April 2014 | Polder 31 part |
| August 2014 | Polder 2 |
| August 2015 | Polders 55/2A and 55/2C |
| January 2017 | Polders 47/3, 47/4, 25, 27/1, 27/2, 28/1 and 28/2 |
| March 2017 | Polder 34/2 part |

As the data have been organized WMA-wise, monitoring results of 2 pairs of polder, i.e. polders 27/1 & 27/2 and polders 28/1 & 28/2, have been reported together as each of these pairs of polders has a WMA in common.

The following table (Table-3.2) shows the numbers of WMAs and WMGs of 22 polders in different performance levels as per their own assessment; in the table the polders have been arranged in the order of starting dates of BGP interventions.

² Community mobilization activity has been the first BGP intervention in all polders.

Table-3.2: Distribution of WMAs and WMGs as per performance levels

| Overall Performance levels | Polder-wise no. and % of WMAs and WMGs as per performance levels* | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | 22 | | 30 | | 43/2D | | 43/2F | | 43/2A | | 29 | |
| | WMA | WMGs | WMA | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs | WMA | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs |
| Good | 1 (100) | 12 (100) | - | 37 (92) | 5 (100) | 27 (100) | - | 18 (67) | 1 (100) | 20 (91) | 2 (100) | 43 (77) |
| Medium | - | - | 1 (100) | 3 (8) | - | - | 3 (100) | 9 (33) | - | 1 (4.5) | - | 13 (23) |
| Poor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (4.5) | - | - |
| Total | 1 (100) | 12 (100) | 1 (100) | 40 (100) | 5 (100) | 27** (100) | 3 (100) | 27 (100) | 1** (100) | 22 (100) | 2 (100) | 56 (100) |

| Overall Performance levels | Polder-wise no. and % of WMAs and WMGs as per performance levels* | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | 43/1A | | 43/2B | | 43/2E | | 26 | | 31 Part | | 2 & 2 Ext | |
| | WMAs | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs | WMA | WMGs | WMA | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs |
| Good | - | 12 (86) | 1 (33) | 20 (71) | 2 (100) | 12 (100) | - | 12 (80) | 1 (100) | 12 (100) | 3 (100) | 61 (95) |
| Medium | 2 (100) | 2 (14) | 2 (67) | 8 (29) | - | - | 1 (100) | 3 (20) | - | - | - | 3 (5) |
| Poor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 2 (100) | 14 (100) | 3 (100) | 28 (100) | 2 (100) | 12 (100) | 1 (100) | 15 (100) | 1 (100) | 12 (100) | 3 (100) | 64 (100) |



| Overall Performance levels | Polder-wise no. and % of WMAs and WMGs as per performance levels* | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | 55/2A | | 55/2C | | 47/3 | | 47/4 | | 25 | | 27/1 & 27/2 | |
| | WMA | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs | WMA | WMGs | WMA | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs | WMA | WMGs |
| Good | 1 (100) | 12 (92) | 2 (100) | 16 (100) | 1 (100) | 8 (100) | 1 (100) | 17 (94) | - | 59 (97) | - | 18 (86) |
| Medium | - | 1 (8) | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (6) | 2 (100) | 2 (3) | 1 (100) | 3 (14) |
| Poor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 1 (100) | 13** (100) | 2 (100) | 16 (100) | 1 (100) | 8 (100) | 1 (100) | 18 (100) | 2 (100) | 61 (100) | 1 (100) | 21 (100) |

| Overall Performance levels | Polder-wise no. and % of WMAs and WMGs as per performance levels* | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | 28/1 & 28/2 | | 34/2 Part | | All Polders | |
| | WMA | WMGs | WMA | WMGs | WMAs | WMGs |
| Good | - | 24 (100) | - | 15 (79) | 21 (60) | 455 (89.4) |
| Medium | 1 (100) | - | 1 (100) | 4 (21) | 14 (40) | 53 (10.4) |
| Poor | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (0.2) |
| Total | 1 (100) | 24 (100) | 1 (100) | 19 (100) | 35 (100) | 509 (100) |

*The figures in parentheses indicate % of WMAs/WMGs in reference to total no. of WMAs/WMGs which participated in the monitoring exercise in respective polders.

** The figures marked with 2 asterisks indicate that one or more WMAs/WMGs formed in the polder did not participate in the monitoring exercise.

The detailed results of WMO self-assessment are given polder-wise in Section 4. The charts below show the distribution of 35 WMAs and 509 WMGs into performance groups.



It is noteworthy that while 60% WMAs have rated their performance level as good, about 89% WMGs have reported their performance level to be good. In zonal/polder teams' assessment the overall performance levels of the WMGs as reported in the dashboard are okay. As for the overall performance levels of WMAs, the zonal/polder teams have different view regarding ratings of 3 WMAs; the performance levels of those WMAs are good and not medium as reported in the dashboard.

3.2 Poor Performance Areas of WMOs

According to the WMO self-monitoring results, the performance levels of some WMOs with respect to the 3 key areas are reported to be poor. In case of WMAs, the performance of 11 of them on legality aspect is rated poor; it is to be noted that it is mainly due to somewhat low performance of their constituent WMGs in regard to the requirement of holding AGM timely. However, the overall performance of those WMAs is not poor. The following table shows the number of WMOs whose performances with respect to the 3 key areas are rated as poor.

Table 3.4: No. of WMOs which have rated their performance as poor on the 3 key areas

| 3 key areas of WMOs' | WMAs | WMGs |
|----------------------|--|--|
| | No. of WMAs having rated their performance as poor | No. of WMGs having rated their performance as poor |
| 1. Legality | 11 | 1 |
| 2. Legitimacy | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Functionality | 0 | 1 |

4. Polder Overview

Polder-wise results of self-monitoring by WMOs using mobile App are given in this section. The assessment of WMOs with respect to 3 key areas and the overall ratings of their performance have been presented WMO-wise. It is to be noted that the WMGs have been organized under their respective WMAs.

While the zonal and polder teams agree with the results of WMO self-assessment as reflected in the dashboard to a large extent, there are a few cases where their assessment of WMO performance levels differs. As the polder-wise WMO self-assessment results are presented in tables in the following, if and where the concerned zonal/polder team holds different view as regards overall performance level of a WMO, it has been indicated in the next column.

4.1 Polder 22

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is good; its performance level was reported to be medium in the previous round of monitoring. All 12 WMGs of the polder also report that their performance level is good; in the previous round of monitoring the performance level of 10 WMGs was reported to be good and that of 2 WMGs was medium.

Polder 22 is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 43/2D, 29, 43/2E, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 55/2C, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|-------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | Dihibura Khal WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Bigardana | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Darun Mallik | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Durgapur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Fulbari | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Gopepagla | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Harinkhola | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Hatbari | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Kalinagar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Noai | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Saidkhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Senerber | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Telikhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 22 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 1 | 12 |
| Medium | - | - |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 12 |

4.2 Polder 30

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is medium, which was also reported as medium in the previous round of monitoring. On the other hand, out of 40 WMGs of the polder, 37 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 3 WMGs rate their performance level as medium. In the previous round of monitoring only 16 WMGs had rated their performance level as good.

As stated in the report of the last round of monitoring, when it learnt that its performance level was rated as medium, the WMA felt that the rating of its performance was wrong in the dashboard – that its performance level was good. The performance level of the WMA is rated medium even in the present round of monitoring. The detail results of the present round of monitoring show that, though it is rated good on 2 key monitoring aspects, its performance is rated medium because on legality aspect it is rated poor, which has resulted due to low performance levels of its constituent WMGs on legality aspect, and that is accounted for in the rating of WMA's performance. The zonal/polder team, however, rates the performance level of the WMA as good. To mention a few good qualities of the WMA, it has got good and active leadership, takes initiatives for regular O&M of infrastructures and maintains good linkages with LGIs.

Polder 30 is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|-------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | Batiaghata Khal WMA | Poor | Good | Good | Medium | Good |
| 1 | Amtala Kodaldaha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Andharia Khejurtala | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Auskhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Baguladanga-Patharghata | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Bajeafti Debitala | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Balabunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Barun Para | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Basurabad | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Batiaghata | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Boyarbhanga Madhya | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Boyarbhanga Paschim | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Boyarbhanga Purba | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Britti Khalsebunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Britti Salua | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Chak Solemari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Charkhali Machalia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|---------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 17 | Debitala | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 18 | Deoatala | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Gongarampur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Hatbati Dakshin | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Hatbati Uttar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 22 | Hetalbunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Hogolbunia Dakshin | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 24 | Hogolbunia Uttar & Madhya | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 25 | Kaemkhola | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 26 | Kaemkhola Hula | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 27 | Kanthaltala Gondhamari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 28 | Kasiardanga | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 29 | Katamari Gopalkhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 30 | Katianangla | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 31 | Khalsibunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 32 | Kismat Phultala | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 33 | Mailmara | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 34 | Maitbhanga-Bhennabunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 35 | Masiar Danga | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 36 | Par Batiaghata-Baruirabad | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 37 | Par Salua | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 38 | Phultala | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 39 | Sukhdara (Purba) | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 40 | Titukhali-Partitukhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 30 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 37 |
| Medium | 1 | 3 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 40 |

4.3 Polder 43/2D

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of all 5 WMAs of the polder is good; in the previous round the performance level of 4 WMAs was reported as medium and that of 1 WMA is poor. On the other hand, all 27 WMGs of the polder that participated in the self-monitoring exercise rate their performance level as good; 1 WMG of the polder did not participate in the self-monitoring exercise. In the previous round of monitoring 24 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 3 WMGs, as medium.

Polder 43/2D is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 29, 43/2E, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 55/2C, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|---------------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Katakhalī-Koiya Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Bara Auliapur Uttar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Chamta | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Keshabpur | Inactive due to a court case | | | | |
| 4 | Purba Auliapur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Thangi | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Taktakhali Sluice WMA | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Bara Auliapur Purba | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Chhota Auliapur Dakshin | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Choto Auliapur Uttar | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Paschim Pancha Koralia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Purba Pancha Koralia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-3 | Hethalia-Charabunia Sluice WMA | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Ballabhpur | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Charabunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Dibuapur | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Paschim Sarikkhali | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Sankarpur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Uttar Bahalgachia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| WMA-4 | Kanaidanga Batgachia sluice WMA | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Abad Hajikhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 18 | Dakshin Hajikhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Pakshia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Purba Gerakhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Purba Gerakhali Uttar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-5 | Morichbunia Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 22 | Barunbaria | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Dakshin Bazarghona | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 24 | Dakshin Marichbunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 25 | Patukhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 26 | Purba Marichbunia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 27 | Tafalbaria | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 28 | Uttar Bazarghona | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 43/2D, which participated in the monitoring exercise, is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMAs | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 5 | 27 |
| Medium | - | - |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 5 | 27 |

4.4 Polder 43/2F

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of all 3 WMAs of the polder is medium; in the previous round too the performance level of the 3 WMAs was rated as medium. On the other hand, out of 27 WMGs of the polder, 18 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 9 WMGs rate their performance level as medium. In the previous round 16 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 11 WMGs, as medium.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|--------------|--|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Gojkhali Sluice WMA | Poor | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 1 | Bainbunia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 2 | Dakshin Gojkhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Dakshin-Paschim Kalibari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Dakshin-Purba Kalibari | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Deppur | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 6 | Fakirkhali-Gojkhali | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 7 | Paschim Kalagachia Paschim | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Uttar Dalachara Uttar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Uttar Gojkhali | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Horidrabararia-Sholohawladar Sluice WMA | Poor | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 10 | Dakshin Haridrabararia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Madhya Kalagachia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 12 | Paschim Kalagachia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Uttar Gulisakhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Uttar Haridrabararia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 15 | Uttar Kalibari-Bazarghona | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Uttar Purba Kalagachia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| WMA-3 | Gulishakhali Sluice WMA | Poor | Good | Good | Medium | |
| 17 | Bazarkhali | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 18 | Dakshin Angulkata | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Dakshin Dalachara | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Dakshin Gulisakhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Dakshin Khekuani | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 22 | Madhya Dalachara | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 23 | Madhya Gulisakhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 24 | Purba Gulisakhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 25 | Uttar Angulkata | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 26 | Uttar Dalachara | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 27 | Uttar Khekuani | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 43/2F is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMAs | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 18 |
| Medium | 3 | 9 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 3 | 27 |

4.5 Polder 43/2A

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of one of the two WMAs of the polder is good while the other WMA is not active due to a court case and did not participate in the monitoring exercise. In the previous round of monitoring the performance level of the participating WMA was rated as medium. On the other hand, 20 out of 22 WMGs of the polder rate their performance level as good, while one WMG rates its performance level as medium and one WMG, as poor. In the previous round of monitoring, 20 WMGs had participated in the monitoring exercise, 10 of which had rated their performance level as good, while the other 10 WMGs had rated their performance level as medium.

Polder 43/2A is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|-----------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Khatasia-Titkata Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Dakshin Bighai Dakshin | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Dakshin Bighai Uttar | Medium | Medium | Poor | Poor | |
| 3 | Dakshin Titkata | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Pasaribunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Paschim Bara Bighai | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Paschim Kewabunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Paschim Titkata | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Patukhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Purba Bara Bighai | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Purba Kewabunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Purba Titkata | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Kazirhat Sluice WMA | Inactive due to court case | | | | |
| 12 | Bhajna | Poor | Good | Good | Medium | |
| 13 | Haritakibaria | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Kumarkhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Madhya Matibhanga | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Matibhanga-Chhota Bighai | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Nandipara-Madarbunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 18 | Paschim Chhota Bighai | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Paschim Matibhanga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Purba Chhota Bighai | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Purba Matibhanga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 22 | Tushkhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 43/2A, which participated in the monitoring exercise, is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA(s) | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 1 | 20 |
| Medium | - | 1 |
| Poor | - | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 22 |

4.6 Polder 29

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the 2 WMAs of the polder is good; in the previous round of monitoring the performance level of 1 WMA was medium and that of the other one was poor. On the other hand, out of 56 WMGs of the polder, 43 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 13 WMGs, as medium. In the previous round of monitoring, 30 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 26 WMGs, as medium.

Polder 29 is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 43/2E, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 55/2C, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|----------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Bakultala Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Bakultala | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Banda | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Bhandar Para | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 4 | DKB | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Dumuria Dakshin | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Dumuria Uttar | Medium | Good | Medium | Medium | |
| 7 | Gajendrapur Dakshin | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Gajendrapur Uttar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Ghona | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Hajibunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Jabra | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Kanchan Nagar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Kapalidanga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Kharibunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Lohaidanga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Maikhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Orabunia - Rajnagar | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 18 | Perikhali - Chak Sonadanga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Rajibpur Dakshin Mahal | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 20 | Taltala Kusarhula | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Telikhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 22 | Ula Charail | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Ula Dakshin | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Chatchatia Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 24 | Akra-Bahir Akra | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 25 | Asannagar | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 26 | Baghdari | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 27 | Baniakhali | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 28 | Bara Aria | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 29 | BCG | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 30 | Bhulbaria | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 31 | Britti Bhulbaria | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 32 | Chatchatia | Detail results not available | | | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|----------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 33 | Dakshin Kalikapur | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 34 | Dakshin Sarappur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 35 | DGKC | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 36 | Jhaltala | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 37 | Kagaji Para | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 38 | KDC | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 39 | Keakhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 40 | Kharsanda | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 41 | Kodla Mathbari | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 42 | Kukhia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 43 | Rajapur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 44 | Ratankhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 45 | Sahas Ghoshgati | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 46 | Sahas Joykhali | Medium | Good | Medium | Medium | |
| 47 | Sahas Kumarghata | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 48 | Sahas Madhyapara | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 49 | Sahas Noakati | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 50 | Sambhunagar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 51 | Senpara | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 52 | Sundar Mahal Paschim | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 53 | Sundar Mahal Purba | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 54 | Taiabpur | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 55 | Uttar Kalikapur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 56 | Uttar Sarappur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 29 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA(s) | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 2 | 43 |
| Medium | - | 13 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 2 | 56 |

4.7 Polder 43/1A

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the 2 WMAs of the polder is medium; in the previous round also the performance level of the WMAs was medium. On the other hand, out of 14 WMGs of the polder, 12 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 2 WMGs, as medium. In the previous round, 10 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 4 WMGs, as medium.

Polder 43/1A is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|---|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Amtala-Chowla Sluice WMA | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 1 | Chowla | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Dakshin Atharagashia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Dakshin Sonakhali | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 4 | Khagdon | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Paschim Atharagashia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Paschim Sonakhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Uttar Atharagashia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Uttar Sonakhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Kewabunia-Mahiskata-Golbunia Sluice WMA | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 9 | Paschim Keowabunia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Paschim Sakharia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Purba Chunakhali | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 12 | Purba Keowabunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Purba Sakharia | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Roybala | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 43/1A is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 12 |
| Medium | 2 | 2 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 2 | 14 |

4.8 Polder 43/2B

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of 1 WMA of the polder is good and that of the other 2 WMAs is medium; in the previous round of monitoring all 3 WMAs had rated their performance level as medium. On the other hand, out of 28 WMGs of the polder, 20 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 8 WMGs, as medium. In the previous round of monitoring, 25 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 3 WMGs, as medium. According to the polder team, out of 5 WMGs which rated their performance lower than that in the previous round of monitoring, 2 WMGs (Dakshin-Paschim Badura and Uttar-Paschim Gol Banshbunia) are in reality well-performing WMGs, while the 3 others rated their performance lower as they did not have so significant activities during the last three months.

Polder 43/2B is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|--|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Amkhola-Moshurikati Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Algi-Tafalbaria | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Alor Dishari | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Bhangra | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Chingaria-Dakshin Balaikati | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Dakshin Amkhola | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Dari Baherchar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Madhya Amkhola | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Mushurikathi | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Ramdula | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Suhari Mini Polder | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 11 | Uttar Amkhola | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Bauria Sluice WMA | Poor | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 12 | Algi-Chhailabunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Garabunia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 14 | Gol Bauria | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Kanchanbaria-Khantakhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Purba Sonakhali | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 17 | Ramananda | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-3 | Masuakhali-Badura-Nashaisheel Sluice WMA | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 18 | Balaikati | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 19 | Dakshin-Paschim Badura | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 20 | Dakshin-Purba Badura | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Dakshin-Purba Gol Banshbunia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 22 | Kalai Kishore | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Madhya Chhailabunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 24 | Nijsuhari-Dakshin Chhailabunia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 25 | Purba Badura | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 26 | Uttar Badura | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 27 | Uttar Chhailabunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 28 | Uttar-Paschim Gol Banshbunia | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 43/2B is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 1 | 20 |
| Medium | 2 | 8 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 3 | 28 |

4.9 Polder 43/2E

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the 2 WMAs of the polder is good; in the previous round of monitoring the performance level of both the WMAs was medium. On the other hand, all 12 WMGs of the polder rate their performance level as good. In the previous round of monitoring, 10 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 2 WMGs, as medium.

Polder 43/2E is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 29, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 55/2C, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|--------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Katakhali Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Char Jainkati Paschim | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Char Jainkati Purba | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Pirtola | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Purba Jainkati Paschim | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Purba Jainkati Purba | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Talbaria | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Moubaria Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Dakshin Sehakati Dakshin | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Dakshin Sehakati Uttar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Fedainagar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Katura Taluk | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Purba Jainkati Madhya | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Uttar Sehakati | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 43/2E is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 2 | 12 |
| Medium | - | - |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 2 | 12 |

4.10 Polder 26

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is medium; in the previous round of monitoring also the performance level of the WMA was medium. On the other hand, out of 15 WMGs of the polder, 12 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 3 WMGs, as medium. In the previous round of monitoring, the performance level of 11 WMGs was good and that of 4 WMGs was medium.

Polder 26 is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|-------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | Shakhabai Khal WMA | Poor | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 1 | Bagmara | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 2 | Balabunia Gopalnagar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Dakshin Chingra | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 4 | Jialtala | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Kadamtala | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Kakmari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Madhya Sovna (Paschim) | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Malmalia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Modhya Sovna (Purba) | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Paschim Sovna (Dakshin) | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Paschim Sovna (Uttar) | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Patibunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Purba Sovna Paschim | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 14 | Shibpur Badurgachha | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Uttar Chingra | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 26 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 12 |
| Medium | 1 | 3 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 15 |

4.11 Polder 31 Part

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is good; the performance level of the WMA was rated medium in the previous round of monitoring. On the other hand, all 12 WMGs of the polder rate their performance level as good; in the previous round of monitoring also the performance level of all the WMGs was rated as good.

Polder 31 Part is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 29, 43/2E, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 55/2C, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | Nondonkhali Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Bunarabad Madhya Para | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Bunarabad-Goriardanga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Char Danga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Gawghara Madhya Para | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Geramari Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Ghater Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Kechorabad | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Nondonkhali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Ralia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Razakhar Beel | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Sanpa-Bara Bhuiya | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Thandamari Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 31 Part is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 1 | 12 |
| Medium | - | - |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 12 |

4.12 Polder 2 & 2 Extension

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of all 3 WMAs of the polder is good; in the previous round of monitoring, the performance level of 1 WMA was reported to be medium and that of the 2 other WMAs was poor. On the other hand, out of 64 WMGs of the polder, 61 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 3 WMGs rate their performance level as medium. In the previous round of monitoring, 26 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 38 WMGs, as medium.

Polder 2 & 2 Ext. is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 29, 43/2E, 31 Part, 55/2A, 55/2C, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|----------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Amodkhali Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Amodkhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Buramara Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|--|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 3 | Chelar Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Dakshin Buramara Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Darikkha Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Dheghur Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Ghoshkhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Guddir Beeler Khal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Hazikhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Jhiyar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Kochur Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Koikhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Kulla Amodkhali Khal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Moricchap | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Pallerchand Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Paschim Chelar Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Purba Amodkhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 18 | Purba Buramara Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Purba Kochur Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Shimulbaria Khal | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 21 | Shishar Khal | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 22 | Shoilhari Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Uttar Pallerchand Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Moheswarkati Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 24 | Andarmanik Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 25 | Beula Nayeber Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 26 | Budhhata Dakshinpara Bakri Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 27 | Budhhata Paschim Para | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 28 | Dakshin Chapra Khal | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 29 | Delkhola Khal | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 30 | Himkhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 31 | Jordia Eru Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 32 | Jori Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 33 | Lambadanga Zamirer Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 34 | Naikati Bottala Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 35 | Nobadkhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 36 | Nowapara Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 37 | Surjokhali Khal-01 | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 38 | Surjokhali Khal-02 | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 39 | Umarkhali Khal | Good | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| WMA-3 | SSM Sluice WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 40 | Baluigachha Dhulihar | Good | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 41 | Bardal Bagdangi | Good | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 42 | Bardal Paschim Para | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 43 | Berbari-Tamal Tala | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 44 | Damarpota Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 45 | Darar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 46 | Dhulihar Nathpara | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 47 | Fatiker Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 48 | Gobindapur Uttar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 49 | Gopinathpur Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|----------------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 50 | Jeala Badhondanga | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 51 | Khajurdanga Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 52 | Koi Khali-Fulbari Katakhal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 53 | Madhya Adahrmanik Khal | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 54 | Mukundapur Beeler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 55 | Pashchim Maskhola Paschim | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 56 | Pashchim Maskhola Purba | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 57 | Purba Maskhola Paschim | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 58 | Purba Maskhola Purba | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 59 | Rajnagar O Khelardangi Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 60 | Sana Para | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 61 | Shallye Paschim Para O Beradangi | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 62 | Shallye Purba Para | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 63 | Suparighata O Sana Para | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 64 | Uttar-Dhakhin Debnagar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 2 & 2 Ext. is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 3 | 61 |
| Medium | - | 3 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 3 | 64 |

4.13 Polder 55/2A

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is good; the performance level of the WMA was reported to be medium in the previous round of monitoring. On the other hand, out of 13 WMGs of the polder that participated in the self-monitoring exercise, 12 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 1 WMG rates its performance level as medium; 1 WMG that did not participate in the self-monitoring exercise is inactive. In the previous round of monitoring also 13 WMGs participated in the monitoring exercise, 11 of which had rated their performance level as good and 2 WMGs, as medium

Polder 55/2A is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 29, 43/2E, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2C, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|------------------------------------|--|--------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Good | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | 55/2A WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Akhoibaria Bahermouze | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Betagi Chikerbandh | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Betagi Sankipur Radhasetaram | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Bot O Char Balakati Krokmal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Char Moishadi Sluice | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 6 | Chownkhola Labilochan Lamna | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Dakshin Dharandi Bazar Sluice | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Dharandi Kamlapur Adharsha | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Hazirhat Sluice | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Kharija Betagi Sluice | Inactive due to a court case | | | | |
| 11 | Madhya Dharandi Chandipur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Naomala Nizbotkazal Bhangra | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Patabunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Sankipur Moishadi Naomala Adharsha | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 55/2A is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 1 | 12 |
| Medium | - | 1 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 13 |

4.14 Polder 55/2C

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the 2 WMAs of the polder is good; the performance level of the WMAs was reported to be medium in the previous round of monitoring. On the other hand, all 16 WMGs of the polder have rated their performance level as good; in the previous round of monitoring too the performance level of all the WMGs was good.

Polder 55/2C is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 29, 43/2E, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 47/3 and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|--|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Bakulbaria-Kallankalash-Chiknikandi Sluice WMA | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Bashabaria Puler Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Bhadrabariar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Chilar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Kachua-Mohisdanga Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Kalyankalash Prodhan Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Katakhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Kharizzama Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Sutabaria Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Ulashir Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Bakulbaria-Alipur-Chandpura-Kharizza Betagi Sluice WMA | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Bakulbaria-Kharizza Betagi Sonamiar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Budaram Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Guabaria-Ranuar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Kamarkhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Lamna-Guabaria Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Madhupura-Debnath Khan Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Rohitpura Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 55/2C is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 2 | 16 |
| Medium | - | - |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 2 | 16 |

4.15 Polder 47/3

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is good; the performance level of the WMA was rated medium in the previous round of monitoring. On the other hand, all 8 WMGs of the polder have rated their performance level as good. In the previous round of monitoring too the performance level of all the WMGs of the polder was rated good

Polder 47/3 is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 29, 43/2E, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 55/2C and 47/4– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|--------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | 47/3 Polder WMA | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Aligonj Khal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Dakshin Char Para Khal | Data got deleted in the dashboard by mistake | | | Good | |
| 3 | Golbunia-Aramgonj Khal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Mela Para Khal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Paschim Modukhali Sluice | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Shafakhali-Islampur Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Teghachia-Azimuddin Khal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Uttar Char Para Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 47/3 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Good | 1 | 8 |
| Medium | - | - |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 8 |

4.16 Polder 47/4

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is good; in the previous round of monitoring the performance level of the WMA was rated as medium. On the other hand, out of 18 WMGs of the polder, 17 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 1 WMG, as medium. In the previous round of monitoring also 17 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 1 WMG, as medium.

Polder 47/4 is one of the ten BGP polders –others being polders 22, 43/2D, 29, 43/2E, 31 Part, 2 & 2 Ext., 55/2A, 55/2C and 47/3– where the performance level of all WMAs are rated as good and is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|--------------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | 47/4 Polder WMA | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 1 | Adam Ali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Ak-koralia Khal | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Amtali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Ayium Para Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Boltali-Noyakata-Tarikata Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Borkotia Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|-----------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 7 | Char Nazib Khal | Medium | Good | Medium | Medium | |
| 8 | Company Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Dhora Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Hetalbunia Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Katakhal-Kabirar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Khekhar Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Modukhali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Monoshatali Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Pakkshia Para Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Shapla Dogir Khal | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Shonirvor Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 18 | Varanir Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 47/4 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | 1 | 17 |
| Medium | - | 1 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 18 |

4.17 Polder 25

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the 2 WMAs of the polder is medium; in the previous round of monitoring the performance level of one of the WMAs was medium and that of the other WMA was poor. In the assessment of the zonal/polder team, the performance level of one of the WMAs, namely Hari-Bhadra WMA, is good and not medium as reported in the dashboard. To mention a few good qualities of the WMA, it has got active and good leadership, takes initiatives for in-polder water management like de-siltation of khal around sluice and maintains good linkages with different organizations including BWDB and LGIs. On the other hand, out of 61 WMGs of the polder, 59 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 2 WMGs, as medium. In the previous round of monitoring 50 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 11 WMGs, as medium.

Polder 25 is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA-1 | Hari-Bhadra WMA | Poor | Good | Good | Medium | Good |
| 1 | Angardoha-Singha | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Bamundia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|----------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 3 | Baruna | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Bhadradia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Chahera | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Chechuri | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Chhaybaria | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Dhamalia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Dohakula | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Gonali | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Hasanpur Mikshimil Dakshin | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Katenggha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Khornia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Khorsongha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Mikshimil | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Modhugram | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Pakuria-Tolna Dakshin | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 18 | Panchuria | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Ranai | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Rudaghora | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Shoulgatia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 22 | Tipna | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Tolna | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| WMA-2 | Beel Dakatia WMA | Poor | Good | Medium | Medium | |
| 24 | Alka | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 25 | Andulia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 26 | Baddagati | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 27 | Baranpara | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 28 | Botbera-Baranosi | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 29 | Chhatiani | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 30 | Dakatia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 31 | Damodar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 32 | Damodar Paschim | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 33 | Daokona | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 34 | Deruli | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 35 | Dhopakhola | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 36 | Garakhola | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 37 | Garakhola Uttar-Baniapukur | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 38 | Ghona Madardangha | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 39 | Gojendrapur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 40 | Jamira Dakshin | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 41 | Jamira Uttar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 42 | Krishnanagar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 43 | Kumrail | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 44 | Moshiali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 45 | Mujarghuta | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 46 | Pariardanga | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 47 | Piprail | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 48 | Pothiabanda | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 49 | Raghunathpur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 50 | Ramkrishnapur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|-----------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 51 | Rangpur Madhya | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 52 | Rangpur Paschim | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 53 | Rangpur Purba | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 54 | Ruprampur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 55 | Saravita | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 56 | Sardardangha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 57 | Shahpur Paschim | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 58 | Shahpur Purba | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 59 | Shalua | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 60 | Teligati | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 61 | Thukra | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMAs and WMGs of polder 25 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 59 |
| Medium | 2 | 2 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 2 | 61 |

4.18 Polder 27/1 & 27/2

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of these polders is medium; in the previous round too the performance level of the WMA was reported to be medium. On the other hand, out of 21 WMGs of these polders, 18 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 3 WMGs, as medium; in the previous round of monitoring, 16 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 5 WMGs, as medium.

Polders 27/1 & 27/2 are among the twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | Bhadra-Shalta WMA | Poor | Good | Good | Medium | |
| 1 | Araji Dumuria Paschim | Medium | Good | Medium | Medium | |
| 2 | Araji Dumuria Purba | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Araji Sajjara | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Bahadurpur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Barodangha-Jelerdangha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|-----------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| 6 | Bilpatiyala | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Ghona Bardangha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Golna | Medium | Good | Medium | Medium | |
| 9 | Gutudia Dakshin | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Gutudia Uttar | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Hajidangha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Jilerdangha | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Kamolpur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Khajura | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Kholshi | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Khoria | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Machhaghona | Medium | Good | Medium | Medium | |
| 18 | Madhabkati | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Mirjapur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Sajara | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Ukhra | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polders 27/1 & 27/2 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 18 |
| Medium | 1 | 3 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 21 |

4.19 Polder 28/1 & 28/2

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of these polders is medium; in the previous round of monitoring too the performance level of the WMA was rated as medium. . As per the zonal/polder team's assessment, the performance level of the WMA is good and not medium as reported in the dashboard. To mention a few good qualities of the WMA, its leadership is active, it takes initiatives for regular O&M of infrastructures and it maintains good linkages with LGIs and DC office. On the other hand, all 24 WMGs of these polders rate their performance level as good; in the previous round of monitoring also the performance level of all the WMGs was rated as good.

Polders 28/1 & 28/2 are among the twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|----------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | Koia WMA | Poor | Good | Good | Medium | Good |
| 1 | Alaipur | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 2 | Badurgachha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | Banshbaria | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 4 | Chakrakhali-Goalmari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Chhayghoria | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 6 | Ghola | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Guptomari-Daoniafand | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | JBSR | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Jharbangha | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 10 | Joykhali | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Khamarbati | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 12 | Kuluti | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Lata | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Line Bilpabla | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Nijkhamar | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Paschim Bilpabla | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | Punchu | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 18 | Purba Bilpabla | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 19 | Rajbandh | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 20 | Rajbandh Dakshin | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 21 | Rangamari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 22 | Sachibunia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Shoilmari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 24 | Uttar Bilpabla | Good | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polders 28/1 & 28/2 is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 24 |
| Medium | 1 | - |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 24 |

4.20 Polder 34/2 Part

As per the WMO self-assessment results in the dashboard, the performance level of the WMA of the polder is medium; in the previous round of monitoring too the performance level of the WMA was rated as medium. On the other hand, out of 19 WMGs of the polder, 15 WMGs rate their performance level as good and 4 WMGs, as medium; in the previous round of monitoring 14 WMGs had rated their performance level as good and 5 WMGs, as medium..

Polder 34/2 Part is one of twenty-one BGP polders where, as per their self-assessment, the overall performance level of 70% or more WMGs is rated as good.

| Sl. No. | Name of WMO | Performance of WMO with respect to 3 key areas | | | Overall performance level | |
|---------|--------------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Legality | Legitimacy | Functionality | Self-assessment by WMOs | Zonal/polder team's assessment (indicated where it differs) |
| WMA | Polder 34/2Part WMA | Poor | Good | Good | Medium | |
| 1 | Bhandarcote | Good | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 2 | Birat | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 3 | BKS | Good | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 4 | Bujbunia | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 5 | Dhadua | Medium | Medium | Good | Medium | |
| 6 | Fulbari | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 7 | Jhalbari | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 8 | Kajibachha | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 9 | Katakhal Khal | Good | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| 10 | Kharabad | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 11 | Laxmikhola | Good | Good | Medium | Good | |
| 12 | Nangladesh Khal | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 13 | Noaitola-Baro Hajirabad | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 14 | Paschim Halia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 15 | Purba Halia | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 16 | Ranjiterhula | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |
| 17 | RKNK | Good | Good | Good | Good | |
| 18 | Sheyalidangha Chandamari | Good | Medium | Good | Good | |
| 19 | THN | Medium | Good | Good | Good | |

In brief the performance status of the WMA and WMGs of polder 34/2 Part is as under:

| Overall Performance levels | WMA | WMGs |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Good | - | 15 |
| Medium | 1 | 4 |
| Poor | - | - |
| Total | 1 | 19 |

5. Comparison of Self-assessment Results

The performance levels of WMAs and WMGs of 22 BGP polders in quarters 3 and 4 of the year 2020, as reported in the dashboard, are presented in the table below.

Table-5.1: Distribution of WMOs in performance categories in Quarters 3 and 4 of the year 2020.

| Polder | Type of WMO | Quarter 3 of 2020 | | | | Quarter 4 of 2020 | | | |
|---------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|
| | | Good | Medium | Poor | Total | Good | Medium | Poor | Total |
| 22 | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 10 | 2 | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | 12 |
| 30 | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 16 | 24 | - | 40 | 37 | 3 | - | 40 |
| 43/2D | WMAs | - | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | - | 5 |
| | WMGs | 24 | 3 | - | 27* | 27 | - | - | 27* |
| 43/2F | WMAs | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3 |
| | WMGs | 16 | 11 | - | 27 | 18 | 9 | - | 27 |
| 43/2A | WMAs | - | 1 | - | 1* | 1 | - | - | 1* |
| | WMGs | 10 | 10 | - | 20* | 20 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| 29 | WMAs | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| | WMGs | 30 | 26 | - | 56 | 43 | 13 | - | 56 |
| 43/1A | WMAs | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| | WMGs | 10 | 4 | - | 14 | 12 | 2 | - | 14 |
| 43/2B | WMAs | - | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 |
| | WMGs | 25 | 3 | - | 28 | 20 | 8 | - | 28 |
| 43/2E | WMAs | - | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| | WMGs | 10 | 2 | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | 12 |
| 26 | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 11 | 4 | - | 15 | 12 | 3 | - | 15 |
| 31 part | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 12 | - | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | 12 |

| Polder | Type of WMO | Quarter 3 of 2020 | | | | Quarter 4 of 2020 | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|----------|------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| | | Good | Medium | Poor | Total | Good | Medium | Poor | Total |
| 2 & 2 Ext. | WMAs | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| | WMGs | 26 | 38 | - | 64 | 61 | 3 | - | 64 |
| 55/2A | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 11 | 2 | - | 13* | 12 | 1 | - | 13* |
| 55/2C | WMAs | - | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| | WMGs | 16 | - | - | 16 | 16 | - | - | 16 |
| 47/3 | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 8 | - | - | 8 | 8 | - | - | 8 |
| 47/4 | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 17 | 1 | - | 18 | 17 | 1 | - | 18 |
| 25 | WMAs | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| | WMGs | 50 | 11 | - | 61 | 59 | 2 | - | 61 |
| 27/1 & 27/2 | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 16 | 5 | - | 21 | 18 | 3 | - | 21 |
| 28/1 & 28/2 | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 24 | - | - | 24 | 24 | - | - | 24 |
| 34/2part | WMA | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| | WMGs | 14 | 5 | - | 19 | 15 | 4 | - | 19 |
| Total | WMAs | - | 30 | 5 | 35 | 21 | 14 | - | 35 |
| | WMGs | 357 | 150 | - | 507 | 455 | 53 | 1 | 509 |

* One or more WMAs/WMGs did not participate in the monitoring exercise.

As can be seen in the above table, the numbers of WMAs and WMGs whose performance levels are rated 'good' is remarkably higher in this quarter of monitoring compared to those in the previous quarter. Question may arise whether such improvement in the performance levels can occur in 3 months' time. It appears that it is mainly for two reasons that the monitoring results show such striking differences in the rating of performance levels of WMOs in 2 quarters: (a) the WMOs may have been more careful in the self-assessment this quarter so that the errors made in the last quarter may have been corrected – as observed by the zonal/polder teams, in the previous round of self-monitoring, as the system was new to them, some WMOs had made mistakes due to lack of proper understanding of the 'questions' (monitoring parameters) in the App; and (b) there might have been some errors in the calculations of overall ratings in the previous round of monitoring, because of which results of some WMOs might have been different from what they should have been; the calculations for overall ratings of WMOs have been reviewed and in some cases corrected for the results of this round of monitoring. It may be noted that when the results of last quarter of monitoring were discussed with WMOs, some had remarked that their performance levels were not correctly reflected in the dashboard.

6. Final Remarks

- The polder teams observed that in general the WMOs have done well in the self-assessment of their performance.
- It is worth-noting that the performance level of all WMAs in 10 BGP polders is reported to be good, while in 21 BGP polders the overall performance level of 70% or more WMOs is rated as good.
- The monitoring results show remarkably higher number of WMOs whose performance levels are rated better than those in the previous round of monitoring. In reality all those WMOs may not have achieved such improvement during the last 3 months. As noted in Section 5, the improved overall ratings may have resulted in two ways: (a) the WMOs may have been more careful in the self-assessment this quarter so that the errors made in the last quarter may have been corrected; and (b) there might have been some errors in the calculations of overall ratings in the previous round of monitoring, because of which results of some WMOs might have been different from what they should have been; the calculations for overall ratings of WMOs have been reviewed and in some cases corrected for the results of this round of monitoring.
- Some WMAs feel that even though they are functioning well, their performance level is reported 'medium' in the App/dashboard. It is to be noted that in determining the performance level of a WMA, the performance status of its constituent WMOs also come into consideration and the performance in relation to status of catchment level O&M planning and status of implementation of O&M plan, the factors which do not depend solely on its own efforts, also comes into consideration.

The zonal/polder teams also hold different view on the performance levels of three WMAs than what are reported in the App/dashboard; in zonal/polder teams' assessment in all three cases the performance levels of the WMAs are good and not medium as reported in the dashboard.

- It is important that the WMA, as the leader of WMOs and key player of water management in the polder, reviews and reflect upon the monitoring results –performance of its own and that of its constituent WMOs- and takes appropriate management decisions as well as actions to sustain WMOs' strengths and to help improve where the WMOs are weak. Since the monitoring system is still new to the WMAs, the polder teams need to show/train them how to analyze the monitoring results and plan for improvement.
- The polder teams reported that 2 WMOs have participated in the self-monitoring exercise but now their results are not shown in the App or mobile phone. One possible reason for it is that they might have not been able to send their data into the server properly. They may need to be shown how to do it.

Annex-1: Parameters of WMO Self-monitoring

A. Parameters for WMA level self-monitoring

| ক্র. নং | বিবেচ্য বিষয় | সম্ভাব্য উত্তর | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---|--|
| আইনসম্মত | | | | |
| 1 | সর্বশেষ ব্যবস্থাপনা কমিটি নির্বাচনের তারিখ | | | |
| 2 | পরবর্তী নির্বাচনের তারিখ কবে? | | | |
| 3 | আপনাদের সংগঠন কি হিসাবনিকাশের খাতাপত্র সব সময় হালনাগাদ রাখে? | হ্যাঁ, আমাদের হিসাবনিকাশের খাতাপত্র সব সময় হালনাগাদ থাকে। | না, হিসাবনিকাশের খাতাপত্র সব সময় হালনাগাদ থাকে না। | যখন প্রয়োজন হয় বা চাওয়া হয়, তখন আর্থিক প্রতিবেদন তৈরি করা হয়। |
| 4 | গত বার্ষিক সাধারণ সভা কি যথাসময়ে হয়েছে? (কত তারিখে শেষবার বার্ষিক সাধারণ সভা হয়েছে?) | | | |
| বৈধতা | | | | |
| 5 | স্থানীয় সরকার প্রতিষ্ঠানের সাথে আপনাদের সম্পর্কে কিভাবে মূল্যায়ন করেন? | ভাল | মোটামুটি | দুর্বল |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|----------|----------|----------|
| 6 | সংশ্লিষ্ট বাপাউবো-র পওর বিভাগের সাথে আপনাদের সম্পর্ককে কিভাবে মূল্যায়ন করেন? | ভাল | মোটামুটি | দুর্বল |
| 7 | অন্যান্য সংস্থার সাথে আপনাদের সম্পর্ককে কিভাবে মূল্যায়ন করেন? | ভাল | মোটামুটি | দুর্বল |
| কার্যকারিতা | | | | |
| 8 | ক্যাচমেন্ট-এর পওর পরিকল্পনা কি হালনাগাদ? (ক্যাচমেন্ট-এর পওর পরিকল্পনা কবে তৈরি করা হয়েছে?) | | | |
| 9 | ক্যাচমেন্ট-এর জন্য পরিকল্পিত কার্যকলাপগুলি কি বাস্তবায়ন করা হয়েছে? | অনেকটুকু | আংশিক | খুব অল্প |

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Potential answers | | |
|---------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Legality | | | | |
| 1 | Date of last EC election | | | |
| 2 | When is the next EC election due? | | | |
| 3 | Does your organization maintain a financial administration? | Yes, our books are ready for review at any time | No, our books are not always updated | When asked, we prepare a financial statement |
| 4 | Is the WMA AGM on schedule? (Date of last AGM) | | | |
| Legitimacy | | | | |
| 5 | How do you rate your relation to LGIs? | Good | Reasonable | Poor |
| 6 | How do you rate your relation to BWDB O&M Division? | Good | Reasonable | Poor |
| 7 | How do you rate your relation to other organizations? | Good | Reasonable | Poor |
| Functionality | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---------|--------|--------|
| 8 | Are the catchment plans up-to-date? (When was the catchment O&M plan made?) | | | |
| 9 | Are the planned actions for catchment implemented? | Largely | Partly | Hardly |

B. Parameters for WMG level self-monitoring

| ক্র. নং | বিবেচ্য বিষয় | সম্ভাব্য উত্তর | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|--|
| আইনসম্মত | | | | |
| 1 | সর্বশেষ ব্যবস্থাপনা কমিটি নির্বাচনের তারিখ | | | |
| 2 | পরবর্তী নির্বাচনের তারিখ কবে? | | | |
| 3 | আপনাদের সংগঠন কি হিসাবনিকাশের খাতাপত্র সব সময় হালনাগাদ রাখে? | হ্যাঁ, আমাদের হিসাবনিকাশের খাতাপত্র সব সময় হালনাগাদ থাকে। | না, হিসাবনিকাশের খাতাপত্র সব সময় হালনাগাদ থাকে না। | যখন প্রয়োজন হয় বা চাওয়া হয়, তখন আর্থিক প্রতিবেদন তৈরি করা হয়। |
| 4 | গত বার্ষিক সাধারণ সভা কি যথাসময়ে হয়েছে? (কত তারিখে শেষবার বার্ষিক সাধারণ সভা হয়েছে?) | | | |
| বৈধতা | | | | |
| 5 | ইউনিয়ন পরিষদের সাথে আপনাদের WMG-র সম্পর্ক কেমন? | ভাল | মোটামুটি | দুর্বল |
| কার্যকারিতা | | | | |
| 6 | আভ্যন্তরীণ পানি ব্যবস্থাপনা বিবেচনায় আপনাদের WMG কি কার্যকর আছে? | WMGটি কার্যকর – এই পোল্ডারের আভ্যন্তরীণ পানি ব্যবস্থাপনায় কার্যকরভাবে জড়িত আছে। | WMGটি সুপ্ত – অন্যান্য কার্যক্রম থাকলেও, পোল্ডারের আভ্যন্তরীণ পানি ব্যবস্থাপনায় কার্যকরভাবে জড়িত নয়। | WMGটি বিলুপ্ত; এর কোন কার্যক্রম নেই। |

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Potential answers | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Legality | | | | |
| 1 | Date of last EC election | | | |
| 2 | When is the next EC election due? | | | |
| 3 | Does your organization maintain a financial administration? | Yes, our books are ready for review at any time | No, our books are not always updated | When asked, we prepare a financial statement |
| 4 | Date of last AGM | | | |
| Legitimacy | | | | |
| 5 | How is the relation of your WMG to the UP? | Good | Reasonable | Poor |
| Functionality | | | | |
| 6 | Is your WMG functioning with respect to internal water management? | Is actively involved in in-polder water management | Though it has other activities, it is not actively involved in in-polder water management. | It is dormant – it has no activity. |